



AGENDA
RIO DELL CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING – 6:30 P.M
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2012
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
675 WILDWOOD AVENUE, RIO DELL

WELCOME . . . By your presence in the City Council Chambers, you are participating in the process of representative government. Copies of this agenda, staff reports and other material available to the City Council are available at the City Clerk's office in City Hall, 675 Wildwood Avenue. Your City Government welcomes your interest and hopes you will attend and participate in Rio Dell City Council meetings often.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Office of the City Clerk at (707) 764-3532. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to assure accessibility to this meeting.

THE TYPE OF COUNCIL BUSINESS IS IDENTIFIED IMMEDIATELY AFTER EACH TITLE IN BOLD CAPITAL LETTERS

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- D. CEREMONIAL

2012/1108.01 - Swearing in of Billy J. Long to the Rio Dell Planning Commission
for the Remainder of the Term Ending December 31, 2012

- E. PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS

This time is for persons who wish to address the Council on any matter not on this agenda and over which the Council has jurisdiction. As such, a dialogue with the Council or staff is not intended. Items requiring Council action not listed on this agenda may be placed on the next regular agenda for consideration if the Council directs, unless a finding is made by at least 2/3rds of the Council that the item came up after the agenda was posted and is of an urgency nature requiring immediate action. Please limit comments to a maximum of 3 minutes.

F. CONSENT CALENDAR

The Consent Calendar adopting the printed recommended Council action will be enacted with one vote. The Mayor will first ask the staff, the public, and the Council members if there is anyone who wishes to address any matter on the Consent Calendar. The matters removed from the Consent Calendar will be considered individually in the next section, "SPECIAL CALL ITEMS".

- 1) 2012/1108.02 - Approve Minutes of the October 16, 2012 Regular Meeting
(ACTION) 1
- 2) 2012/1108.03 - Approve Pay Request No. 8 to Wahlund Construction/Sequoia
Construction Specialties in the Amount of \$495,192.54 for work
Related to the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Disposal
Project (ACTION) 11
- 3) 2012/1108.04 - Approve Change Order No. 2 in the Amount of -\$55,351.96
Increasing Contingency Balance to \$214,301.82 on the Wastewater
Treatment Plant Upgrade and Disposal Project (ACTION) 21

G. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

- 1) 2012/1108.05 - Wildwood Avenue Enhancement Project Update (ACTION) 25

H. SPECIAL CALL ITEMS/COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

- 1) "SPECIAL CALL ITEMS" from Consent Calendar
- 2) 2012/1108.06 - Direct City Manager to Execute Contract Agreement with Bartle
Wells Associates in the Amount of \$21,750 to Conduct a
Wastewater Rate Study (ACTION) 33
- 3) 2012/1108.07 - Approve Interim Animal Shelter Services with City of Fortuna
(ACTION) 35
- 4) 2012/1108.08 - Possible Election Results from November 6, 2012 (RECEIVE &
FILE)

I. ORDINANCES/SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS/PUBLIC HEARINGS

- 1) 2012/1108.09 - Conduct Second Reading (by title only) and Approve Ordinance
No. 295-2012 Establishing New Parking Regulations, Section
17.30.180 of the Rio Dell Municipal Code (RDMC) (ACTION) 41
- 2) 2012/1108.10 - Conduct Second Reading (by title only) and Approve Ordinance
No. 297-2012 Amending the Town Center/Urban Residential
Zoning Designations, the Allowed Uses and Development
Standards of the Town Center Zone, the Edwards Suburban
Low/Public Facility Zoning Designations (ACTION) 61

- 4) 2012/1708.11 - Conduct Second Reading (by title only) and Approve Ordinance No. 298-2012 Repealing Ordinance No. 255-2012 and Establishing a Purchasing Procedure **(ACTION)** 75
- 5) 2012/1108.12 - Approve Resolution No. 1181-2012 Approving Wahlund Construction's Request for Sub-Contractor Substitution on the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Disposal Project **(ACTION)** 81

J. REPORTS/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

1. City Manager
2. Chief of Police
3. Finance Director – Check Register for September, 2012 **(RECEIVE & FILE)** 113
4. Community Development Director

K. COUNCIL REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS

L. STUDY SESSIONS/PUBLIC HEARINGS

M. ANNOUNCEMENT OF ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED CLOSED SESSION AS FOLLOWS:

N. PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING CLOSED SESSION

O. RECESS INTO CLOSED SESSION

P. RECONVENE INTO OPEN SESSION

Q. ORAL ANNOUNCEMENTS

R. ADJOURNMENT

*The next Regular meeting will be on November 20, 2012
at 6:30 PM in City Hall Council Chambers*

**RIO DELL CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
OCTOBER 16, 2012
MINUTES**

The Regular Meeting of the Rio Dell City Council was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor Pro Tem Marks.

ROLL CALL: Present: Mayor Pro Tem Marks, Councilmembers Leonard, Thompson, and Wilson

Absent: Mayor Woodall (excused)

Others Present: City Manager Stretch, Chief of Police Hill, Finance Director Beauchaine, Community Development Director Caldwell, Wastewater Superintendent Chicora and City Clerk Dunham

Absent: Water/Roadways Superintendent Jensen (excused)

PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS

Nick Angeloff provided the Council with an update on the east-west rail project and said it is now at the point where there are letters of support and Memorandum of Agreements from the AFLCIO, Counties of Tehama, Humboldt and Trinity, City of Eureka, and Upstate California to oversee the feasibility study.

Ayala Tacpai provided an update on planting possibilities within the Wildwood Ave. Enhancement Project and said she was in contact with the Native Plant Society of California who provided her with two lists of possible plantings: one of plantings you find in Redwood forests and one you find in meadowlands. She said she also got a list of suggested trees to be considered which she agreed to share with City staff.

Catherine Tona, student at College of the Redwoods addressed the Council regarding a program for CR and HSU students where participants can win \$25,000 to start their own business. She said she would like to receive input from the City regarding what type of business they would like to see come to Rio Dell. She said she would need a mentor to help her with information and asked that the matter be placed on a subsequent agenda for discussion.

City Manager Stretch suggested a Study Session be scheduled for November 8, 2012.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Motion was made by Leonard/Thompson to approve the consent calendar including approval of minutes of the October 2, 2012 regular meeting; approval of Resolution No. 1179-2012 repealing Resolution No. 1169-2012 and approving the Position Allocation Table for FY 2012-2013; and

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES
Page 2

receive and file status report on Wildwood Ave. Enhancement Project and direct staff to agendize the topic for the November 8, 2012 regular City Council meeting. Motion carried 4-0.

SPECIAL CALL ITEMS/COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Approve Appointment to the Rio Dell Planning Commission

City Manager Stretch stated there is one candidate here this evening that has stepped up and would like to be considered to fill the vacant position on the Planning Commission. He said it is refreshing to have someone who wants to volunteer their time to help the City.

Billy Joe Long said he would find it a privilege to serve on the Planning Commission and be part of the already existing team to do something that would have an impact on the community.

Councilmember Thompson noted as a point of information that Mr. Long recently became a member of the HWMA PAC committee and took a tour of the facility.

Councilmember Wilson reiterated that it is refreshing to see someone who is willing to volunteer their time particularly in times like this, and said it's easy for people to sit back and take shots at our community through facebook or other web sites rather than get involved and help. He said he believes that what Mr. Long is doing to volunteer will help to change the face of Rio Dell.

Motion was made by Leonard/Wilson to approve the appointment of Billy Joe Long to the Rio Dell Planning Commission to fill the unexpired term ending December 31, 2012. Motion carried 4-0.

Make Finding that the Repair of the South Wall and the Ridge Beam at the North End of City Hall, at the Police Department, Continues to Present an Emergency as Set Forth in Rio Dell Resolution No. 1178-2012

City Manager Stretch stated the City Council at the last meeting added an urgency item to the agenda concerning serious structural issues with the south wall and end of the ridge beam at the north end of City Hall at the Police Department. The finding was made that the repairs presented an emergency that would not permit a delay resulting from competitive solicitation of bids, due to impending rainy weather. He said the California Public Contract Code provides that the Council shall review the emergency action taken at every regularly scheduled meeting to determine by at least 4/5 vote that the emergency continues.

He provided a status report and said the windows were ordered and that repairs were scheduled to begin in 2-3 weeks.

Motion was made by Thompson/Leonard to make the finding that the repair of the south wall of City Hall, at the Police Department, and the ridge beam at the north end of City Hall continues to present an emergency as set forth in Rio Dell Resolution No. 1178-2012. Motion carried 4-0.

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES
Page 3

Discussion of Street Improvement Bond Measure “J”

City Manager Stretch began by reading the *City of Rio Dell Fact Sheet for November 6, 2012 Ballot Measure for Street Improvement Bonds Measure “J”*. The key points made were that approximately 80% of the City’s streets are in poor condition and deteriorating further each year; the City does not receive enough revenue for the required street maintenance; passage of Measure “J” will allow the City to repair 11.5 miles of streets now at the estimated lowest cost; street improvements will have a positive effect on property values; and the best estimate of the annual tax to be levied is only \$11.49 per \$10,000 of assessed property value.

A public hearing was opened at 6:50 p.m. to receive public comment on the proposed measure.

Jack Snell stated he has lived on Riverside Dr. for 35 years and is in full support of Measure J. He said rarely do you get to tax yourself and see the benefit locally. He noted the street improvements will increase property values and said the cost is worth the benefit.

Nick Angeloff, Second Ave. homeowner and recent Grayland Heights Dr. homeowner expressed his support for the measure and said one question he was asked is that why would you vote for the measure if the street you live on is already in good shape. His response was that those streets will be in disrepair at some point so by deferring the repairs will only add to the problem down the road. He pointed out that the cost of the tax will be mitigated in part by savings for replacement of tires and shocks to vehicles.

Billy Joe Long said he was also in support of measure “J” and said although the area of the street on Painter St. where he lives is in good shape; he took a drive around town and found that the condition of some of the other streets was horrendous. He said if the repairs are not done now, the newer roads will be in the same shape as the others before long.

Sharon Wolff stated in principal she is always in favor of bond measures that contribute to the public good but what the City is asking is for the citizens to pass a very large bond measure without ever addressing all the issues with the past infrastructure projects. She said citizens should have faith that things won’t be the same as in the past but by stonewalling and never addressing the problems identified in the investigative report how can the City expect citizens to believe anything has changed or that this project will be administered any better. She added that the public has to have faith that their tax money will be used exactly as it is supposed to be used.

Linda Freitas asked if the tax will complete the repairs such as curb, gutter and sidewalk on Third St. between Davis and Dixie Streets; City Manager Stretch said construction of sidewalks was not part of the proposed improvements.

Ayala Tacpai said she jogs on Ogle Ave. and the road is terrible; for the benefit of her own shock absorbers, she would like to see the road improved.

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES

Page 4

Gary Chapman stated he lives in the new subdivision on Hilda Ct. and even though his street is in good shape and his tax will be higher than most because of the appraised value of his home, he believes in helping the community and supports the measure.

Adam Diaz stated in regard to having confidence in City government and disbursement of \$2.8 million, it is up to the community to keep government honest. He said for the amount of support there is for the measure which requires 2/3 voter approval rather than 51%, Being up against those odds and the measure still passes, he said he seriously doubts there is any way the City can manipulate those funds. He noted that he is one of the citizens that will pay a minimal amount as with many other property owners under Prop 13. He said the City is comprised of approximately 47% rentals and every renter he talked to is in favor of Measure "J" regardless of whether the owner is or not. He encouraged the public to look at the facts to clearly understand what the measure can do for this community and try to move forward and not worry about what may or may not have happened in the past.

City Manager Stretch said he wanted to make it abundantly clear that the \$2.8 million is to be used solely for repair of the streets; nothing else period.

Councilmember Wilson said when disbursements are approved for projects the records are a matter of public record.

City Manager Stretch noted that to be correct and added that the disbursements are also part of the annual audit. He said the insinuation that this City Council has not acted appropriately he finds totally offensive. He said the community knows the Council members, voted for them, talks to them, likes and trusts them. He said citizens are entitled to comment but personally feels the comment made was a bad comment.

Gary Chapman pointed out that it is his understanding that when bonds are financed they come with a covenant that the funds can only be used for their intended purpose.

Deborah Bare asked how the tax is determined and if speed bumps would be part of the project. City Manager Stretch explained the tax rate statement estimates the tax at \$11.49 per \$10,000 of assessed value for the first year and as the bond measure goes on and assessed value increases, the tax decreases.

There being no further public comment, the public hearing closed at 7:10 p.m.

Councilmember Wilson commented that he recently spoke to a Scotia resident who is looking to purchase a home, and he said he would not even look at a home in Rio Dell where the street was in serious need of repair.

Councilmember Thompson said as streets are paved, property values go up \$10,000-\$20,000 which makes the price of real estate to go up thus the overall assessed value of the City as well,

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES
Page 5

which in turn brings down the annual tax amount. He said some of the residents on Ogle Ave. have plans to put in sidewalks at their own expense if or when the paving occurs.

Councilmember Marks said as landlords, she and her husband don't plan on increasing rents with the passage of Measure "J" and said she is very much in support of the measure. She said one question she has heard among the public is how much of the \$2.8 million will be spent on administration.

Finance Director Beauchaine stated that City administration costs were not included in the project costs and that the bond counsel and financial analyst will be paid only if the measure passes.

ORDINANCES/SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS

Approve Resolution No. 1157-2012 Denying the Albin Proposed General Plan Amendment and Zone Reclassification Designating Approximately 3 Acres from Community Commercial (CC) to Urban Residential (UR)

Community Development Director Caldwell stated that Mr. Albin has asked that the matter be continued to November 20, 2012 with the entire Council present.

Councilmember Wilson asked when this matter was first presented to the Council; Community Development Director Caldwell said the project was first introduced on May 15, 2012. Councilmember Wilson said the project has been postponed several times and suggested this continuance be the last one granted; council concurred.

Introduction and First Reading (by title only) of Ordinance No. 295-2012 Establishing New Parking Regulations, Section 17.30.180 of the Rio Dell Municipal Code (RDMC)

Community Development Director Caldwell provided a staff report and said a joint study session was held with the City Council and the Planning Commission to discuss possible changes to the City's parking regulations. He said the existing regulations adopted in 1968 are clearly outdated and in need of revision. He said the draft parking regulation were taken to the Planning Commission at their last regular meeting on September 26, 2012 and after clarification on a couple of minor items, the Commission recommended approval by the City Council.

A public hearing was opened at 7:21 p.m. to receive public comment on the proposed parking regulations.

Deborah Bare asked if the new regulations would create more parking spaces downtown including handicapped parking; Community Development Director Caldwell explained the proposed regulations will only apply to new development.

There being no further public comment, the public hearing closed at 7:22 p.m.

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES
Page 6

Motion was made by Wilson/Thompson to introduce and conduct first reading (by title only) of Ordinance No. 295-2012 Establishing New Parking Regulations, Section 17.30.180 of the Rio Dell Municipal Code (RDMC), and continue consideration of the proposed Ordinance to the meeting of November 8, 2012 for the second reading and adoption. Motion carried 4-0.

Introduction of Resolution No. 1177-2012 and First Reading (by title only) of Ordinance No. 297-2012 Amending the Town Center/Urban Residential Zoning Designations, the Allowed Uses and Development Standards of the Town Center Zone, the Edwards Suburban Low/Public Facility Zoning Designations

Community Development Director Caldwell provided a staff report and said this item was also subject to a prior joint study session with the Planning Commission and there was discussion and support at that time for limiting the Town Center designation to those parcels which front on Wildwood Ave; expanding the allowed uses of the Town Center designation, including artisan studios to encourage commercial development in the down town area; and elimination of the two-story requirement for new structures located in the Town Center zone. He directed the Council's attention to the proposed changes as shown in Exhibit A of his staff report.

A public hearing was opened at 7:27 p.m. to receive public comment on the proposed Ordinance. There being no public comment, the public hearing closed.

Community Development Director Caldwell noted the amendments also includes some clean-up items such as a Plan and Zone Boundary Amendment for the area that was acquired by the City on Edwards Dr. from Suburban Low (SL) to Public Facility (PF); and amending the Land Use Designation Acreage Distribution to reflect mapping changes of the former Eel River Sawmill site and Blue Slide Road annexations.

Councilmember Thompson stated there was previous discussion of split zoning at Berkeley and Wildwood and asked if that was still being considered; Community Development Director Caldwell said the split zoning was eliminated since it would only leave a 45 foot deep commercial lot which may not be viable.

Motion was made by Thompson/Wilson to introduce Resolution No. 1177-2012 and conduct first reading (by title only) of Ordinance No. 297-2012 amending the Town Center/Urban Residential Zoning Designations, the Allowed Uses and Development Standards of the Town Center Zone, the Edwards Suburban Low/Public Facility Zoning Designations and continue consideration of the proposed Ordinance to the meeting of November 8, 2012 for its second reading and adoption. Motion carried 4-0.

Introduction and First Reading (by title only) of Ordinance No. 298-2012 Repealing Ordinance No. 255-2012 and Establishing a Purchasing Procedure

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES
Page 7

Finance Director Beauchaine provided a staff report and said in 2006 the City adopted the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act which allows public agencies to streamline the bid award process as well as reducing the amount of paperwork related to advertising and report filing. She further explained the UPCC has a provision which allows for projects between the amounts of \$45,000-\$175,000 to follow informal bidding procedures which must be adopted by Resolution. She said the proposed ordinance outlines the informal bidding procedure and updates the City's Purchasing Policy to reflect the procedures.

A public hearing was opened to receive public comment on the proposed ordinance. There being no public comment, the public hearing closed.

Councilmember Thompson stated that he had spoken to Craig Olsen at HDR regarding the pre-bidding process for projects and was told that any contractor that has filed a law suit against the City can be omitted from the bidders list. Finance Director Beauchaine said the language is somewhat vague so in the event that were to happen, she would recommend seeking advice from legal counsel.

Councilmember Thompson said he would like to have a legal opinion on the matter; Finance Director Beauchaine commented that the draft ordinance was reviewed by the City Attorney and the Contract Attorney. She agreed to contact Craig Olsen and discuss the matter further, stating it was her understanding that the language would need to be in the bid documents rather than the ordinance.

Councilmember Wilson referred to Section 3 under *Purchasing Procedure* and asked for clarification that purchases may be made by department heads or the City Manager up to \$5,000 provided the expenditure is budgeted; Finance Director Beauchaine said the purchase, except in cases of emergency, may not be made unless an unencumbered appropriation exists in the fund account against which the purchase is to be charged.

Councilmember Marks asked if account line items can be moved to accommodate for a particular purchase; Finance Director Beauchaine explained line items within the department can be moved with City Manager and City Council approval.

Finance Director Beauchaine then reviewed the City's purchasing procedures and steps for paying expenditures.

Councilmember Wilson pointed out that the purpose of the Purchasing Ordinance is to provide assurance there is a mechanism in place for appropriate checks and balances.

Motion was made by Leonard/Thompson to introduce and conduct first reading (by title only) of Ordinance No. 298-2012 repealing Ordinance No. 255-2008 establishing a purchasing procedure and to continue consideration of the proposed ordinance to the next regular meeting on November 8, 2012. Motion carried 4-0.

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES
Page 8

Approve Resolution No. 1180-2012 Amending the City Budget for the Abatement of Asbestos and Carpeting of City Hall and Authorize the City Manager to Engage Floortec North Coast and New Life Service Company for the Abatement of Asbestos Flooring and the Installation of Encapsulating Carpeting in City Hall

City Manager Stretch provided a staff report and said it was recently discovered that almost the entire floor covering and the mastic used to hold the floor tiles in place in City Hall contains asbestos. He said although much of the tile is still in good shape and doesn't need to be removed; it does need to be encapsulated so that it does not become a problem in the future. He said there are only a few contractors in the area licensed to abate asbestos so two quotes were obtained; one from Floortec and one from New Life Service Co. who will be working together on the abatement of asbestos flooring and the installation of encapsulating carpeting. He said one downfall of installing encapsulating carpeting is that when the carpeting wears out (estimated in 15-20 years) the removal will require replacement of the subflooring as well. He said the estimated cost for labor and materials is \$20,936 but a \$25,000 budget amendment is requested in order to cover any unknown issues which are expected.

A public hearing was opened to receive public comment on the proposed budget amendment.

Billy Joe Long asked what it would cost to remove the subflooring now so that it does not have to be done later; City Manager Stretch stated he didn't get an firm quote but he was told the cost would be substantial; perhaps \$80,000 versus the \$25,000.

There being no public comment the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Wilson/Thompson to authorize the City Manager to engage Floortec North Coast and New Life Service Company to remove several areas of asbestos vinyl floor tile in City Hall and install impervious glue backed carpet over the tile flooring, except for the kitchen and utility room which would be replaced with a vinyl sheet product. Motion carried 4-0.

Motion was made by Wilson/Thompson to approve Resolution No. 1180-2012 to amend the Final City Budget by \$25,000 for the asbestos tile removal and enclosure project, said revenue transferred from the various Fund Reserves in like amount, and authorize the City Manager to spend up to that amount on the project. Motion carried 4-0.

REPORTS/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

Community Development Director Caldwell reported he attended a TAC meeting at HCAOG and discussed MAP 21 stating he would like to see the City take advantage of available monies under that program. He noted that potential projects need to be identified in the Regional Transportation Plan. He said the tribes have been part of the group and it was recommended that 75% go to the four small cities. Merritt Perry made an amended motion so that the funds are proportional to everyone based on population. Because of this, the City will receive \$22,000 rather than \$16, 000 as estimated.

OCTOBER 16, 2012 MINUTES
Page 9

Community Development Caldwell said on October 25, 2012 a super-regional meeting on MAP 21 would be held at the Adorni Center to discuss funding options; he would be attending a Brownfield's Workshop in Oakland November 7-9, 2012; and said originally the Draft Marijuana Ordinance was scheduled to be on the October 24, 2012 Planning Commission agenda however, that item was moved ahead to the November 28, 2012 meeting to allow the City Manager and the City Attorney adequate time to review the draft ordinance.

Wastewater Superintendent Chicora reported everything was going well and on schedule with the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Disposal Project.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 8:02 p.m. to the November 8, 2012 regular meeting.

Attest:

Melissa Marks, Mayor Pro Tem,

Karen Dunham, City Clerk

675 Wildwood Avenue
Rio Dell, CA 95562



TO: Mayor and Members of the City Council

THROUGH: Jim , City Manager

FROM: Stephanie Beauchaine, Finance Director 

DATE: November 8, 2012

SUBJECT: Wahlund/Sequoia Construction Pay Request #8

RECOMMENDATION

Approve Pay Request

BUDGETARY IMPACT

Pay Request #8 in the amount of \$495,192.54 will be funded through the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) financing agreement project number C-06-7401-110 totaling \$12,980,859.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Pay Request #8 has been approved for payment by the City's Construction Manager and City Manager.

BEHRENS CONSTRUCTION AND INSPECTION SERVICES
170 South Bank Chetco River Road
Brookings, OR 97415-8288

(707) 696-4650
rbehrens@rbehrens.org

To: Rio Dell City Council
Jim Stretch, City Manager
Stephanie Beauchaine, Finance Director
Rick Chicora, Wastewater Superintendent

From: Richard Behrens, Construction Manager

Date: 10/26/2012

Project Name: **Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Disposal Project**

The WWTP project is now into the eighth month of progress. The contractor has completed approximately 98% of the concrete portion of the bio-tank and backfill and water testing continues. Underground electrical conduits continue to be placed and concrete duct banks poured. Equipment pads are being completed and the electrical, plumbing and mechanical phases have begun. The control system is in production with a November delivery date. Work is proceeding at the disposal site with the initial earth moving complete and approximately 98% of the pressure and drain systems complete. All of the Aero-Mod equipment has been delivered and installation has started. Nearly all disposal site piping and transmission line piping has been delivered. The final sludge dryer system design has been approved and it is in production.

Progress Payment Request No. 8 is attached. This pay request is based on the bid schedule breakdown provided by the contractor, Wahlund Construction, Inc.,/ Sequoia Construction Specialties, and the actual quantities of work completed and materials delivered to site.

There has been one Change Order completed to date, totaling \$29,960.11. The adjusted contract amount to date is \$10,660,960.11. The total billed thru Progress Pay Request No. 8, less retainer, is \$5,374,394.57.

I recommend payment to Wahlund Construction, Inc.,/ Sequoia Construction Specialties for Progress Pay Request No. 8 in the amount of \$495,192.54, that also takes into account a 5% retention. Payment to the contractors is due within 20 days of receipt of each Application for Payment. Progress Payment Request No. 8 was received 10/26/2012.

cc:
Craig Olson, HDR Inc.

Bret Rinehart, Wahlund Construction, Inc.
Brian Pritchard, Sequoia Construction Specialties

Progress Payment Summary

Owner: City of Rio Dell
Project Title: Rio Dell Wastewater Treatment Plant
Upgrade and Disposal

Job #: 24-11
Payment #: 8
Period Ending: 31-Oct-2012

1. Analysis of Authorized Contract Amount to Date

a. Authorized Contract Work Amount	\$ 10,631,000.00
b. Total Change Orders Work Amount	\$ 29,960.11
c. Adjusted Contract Amount to Date	\$ 10,660,960.11

2. Analysis of Work Performed

a. Contract and Change Orders Performed to Date	\$ 5,657,257.44
b. Retainer, 5%	\$ 282,862.87
c. Net Contract Work to Date	\$ 5,374,394.57
d. Previous Billed	\$ 4,879,202.03
e. Balance Due This Period	\$ 495,192.54

3. Certification of Contractor

According to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that all items and amounts shown on the Schedule of Values are correct; that all work has been performed and/or material supplied in full accordance with the requirements of the referenced Contract, and/or duly authorized deviations, substitutions, alterations, and/or additions.

All previous progress payments received on account of the Work have been applied on account to discharge Contractor's legitimate obligations associated with prior Applications for Payment.

Wahlund Construction, Inc./
Sequoia Construction Specialties

Contractor

Ken Wahlund

Authorized Representative

Date: 10/25/2012 Title: Joint Venture Administrator

4. Certification of Construction Manager

I certify that I have checked and verified the above and foregoing Schedule of Values; that to the best of my knowledge and belief it is a true and correct statement of work performed and/or material supplied by the Contractor; that all work and/or material included in this Progress Payment Summary has been inspected by me and/or my duly authorized representative or assistants and that it has been performed and/or supplied in full accordance with the requirements of the referenced contract; and that the payment due to the Contractor is correctly computed on the basis of work performed and/or material supplied to date.

Construction Manager

Date:

5. Approval for Payment

City Manager

Date:

10/26/2012

WAHLUND CONSTRUCTION, INC./ SEQUOIA CONSTRUCTION SPECIALTIES

A Joint Venture

License No. 855844

Eureka Office: 707-268-0150 Fax: 707-268-0137

Rio Dell Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Disposal Project HDR Project No. 152932

To: City of Rio Dell

675 Wildwood Avenue

Rio Dell, California 95562

Pay Request No.: 8

Period Ending: 10/31/12

Item	Description of Item	Qty	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Previous		This Period		Total To Date	
						Qty	\$	Qty	\$	Qty	\$
1	Bid Item 1										
	Sheeting, shoring and bracing or equivalent method conforming to applicable safety order.	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	75%	\$ 3,750.00	25%	\$ 1,250.00	100%	\$ 5,000.00
				Bid Item 1 Total	\$ 5,000.00		\$ 3,750.00		\$ 1,250.00		\$ 5,000.00
2	Bid Item 2										
	Over-excavation and disposal of undesirable material and compaction of fill material under biological treatment facility as defined in Section 02200 of the specifications.	2,500	CY	\$ 35.00	\$ 87,500.00	422	\$ 14,770.00	3	\$ 105.00	425	\$ 14,875.00
				Bid Item 2 Total	\$ 87,500.00		\$ 14,770.00		\$ 105.00		\$ 14,875.00
3	Bid Item 3										
	14- inch recycled water pipeline from Station 1+50 (+/-) to Station 101+50 (+/-), including all appurtenances as required to complete work.	10,000	LF	\$ 108.00	\$ 1,080,000.00	2,737.63	\$ 295,664.08	0.00	\$ -	2,737.63	\$ 295,664.08
				Bid Item 3 Total	\$ 1,080,000.00		\$ 295,664.08		\$ -		\$ 295,664.08
4	Bid Item 4										
	Recycled water pipeline from Station 101+50 (+/-) to Station 201+50 (+/-), including all work in Caltrans right-of-way.	1	LS	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ 1,500,000.00	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -
				Bid Item 4 Total	\$ 1,500,000.00		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
5	Bid Item 5										
	All work at disposal site on north side of Eel River outside of Caltrans right-of-way.										
5.1	Site Clearing	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	100%	\$ 15,000.00	0%	\$ -	100%	\$ 15,000.00
5.2	Earthwork	1	LS	\$ 289,475.00	\$ 289,475.00	95%	\$ 275,001.25	3%	\$ 8,684.25	98%	\$ 283,685.50
5.3	Distribution and Turnout Boxes	1	LS	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	50%	\$ 7,500.00	50%	\$ 7,500.00	100%	\$ 15,000.00
5.4	Precast Concrete Pump Station	1	LS	\$ 28,000.00	\$ 28,000.00	25%	\$ 7,000.00	50%	\$ 14,000.00	75%	\$ 21,000.00
5.5	Pump Station Base & Equipment Pad	1	LS	\$ 6,825.00	\$ 6,825.00	25%	\$ 1,706.25	50%	\$ 3,412.50	75%	\$ 5,118.75
5.6	Overflow Valve Concrete Pads	1	LS	\$ 20,475.00	\$ 20,475.00	100%	\$ 20,475.00	0%	\$ -	100%	\$ 20,475.00
5.7	Hydroseeding	1	LS	\$ 54,059.25	\$ 54,059.25	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -
5.8	Submersible Non-Clog Tailwater Pumps	1	LS	\$ 32,386.10	\$ 32,386.10	67.73%	\$ 21,936.15	0.00%	\$ -	67.73%	\$ 21,936.15
5.9	REW Piping	1	LS	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	92.81%	\$ 139,217.52	5.00%	\$ 7,500.00	97.81%	\$ 146,717.52
5.10	DFD Piping	1	LS	\$ 145,000.00	\$ 145,000.00	84.63%	\$ 122,711.42	10.00%	\$ 14,500.00	94.63%	\$ 137,211.42
5.11	Tailwater Pump Piping - Material	1	LS	\$ 20,002.50	\$ 20,002.50	11.999%	\$ 2,400.00	0.00%	\$ -	11.999%	\$ 2,400.00

5.12	Tailwater Pump Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	7,381.50	\$	7,381.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
5.13	Tailwater Pump Installation per D04	1	LS	\$	6,460.65	\$	6,460.65	0%	\$	1,615.16	25%	\$	1,615.16
5.14	HDG Bar Grating 2x per 1/D03	1	LS	\$	2,625.00	\$	2,625.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
5.15	PVC Stilling Well per D04	1	LS	\$	2,310.00	\$	2,310.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
				Bid Item 5 Total		\$	795,000.00		\$	612,947.59		\$	670,159.51
6	Bid Item 6												
	All work as required per the MMRP as outlined in Specification Section 01560.	1	LS	\$	70,000.00	\$	70,000.00	30%	\$	21,000.00	10%	\$	28,000.00
				Bid Item 6 Total		\$	70,000.00		\$	21,000.00		\$	28,000.00
7	Bid Item 7												
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and Implementation per Specification Section 02271.	1	LS	\$	10,500.00	\$	10,500.00	0%	\$	-	50%	\$	5,250.00
				Bid Item 7 Total		\$	10,500.00		\$	-		\$	5,250.00
8	Bid Item 8												
	All other work as indicated on the project plans and defined in the project specifications.												
8.1	Bidding Requirements												
8.1.1	Performance & Payment Bond	1	LS	\$	82,000.00	\$	82,000.00	100%	\$	82,000.00	0%	\$	82,000.00
8.1.2	Insurance	1	LS	\$	88,000.00	\$	88,000.00	100%	\$	88,000.00	0%	\$	88,000.00
				Bidding Requirements Total		\$	170,000.00		\$	-		\$	170,000.00
8.2	General Requirements												
8.2.1	Mobilization	1	LS	\$	156,250.00	\$	156,250.00	100%	\$	156,250.00	0%	\$	156,250.00
8.2.2	Demobilization	1	LS	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.2.3	Plumbing Mobilization	1	LS	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00	100%	\$	21,000.00	0%	\$	21,000.00
8.2.4	Field Engineering & Surveying	1	LS	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00	85%	\$	8,500.00	5%	\$	9,000.00
8.2.5	Administration Requirements	1	LS	\$	252,000.00	\$	252,000.00	38.89%	\$	98,000.00	5.56%	\$	112,000.00
8.2.6	Construction Schedules	1	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00	55%	\$	1,100.00	10%	\$	1,300.00
8.2.7	Submittals	1	LS	\$	18,000.00	\$	18,000.00	70%	\$	12,600.00	10%	\$	14,400.00
8.2.8	Temporary Offices, Sanitation, etc.	1	LS	\$	54,000.00	\$	54,000.00	38.89%	\$	21,000.00	5.56%	\$	24,000.00
8.2.9	Project Sign	1	LS	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.2.10	Construction Photographs	1	LS	\$	500.00	\$	500.00	100%	\$	500.00	0%	\$	500.00
				General Requirements Total		\$	540,250.00		\$	318,950.00		\$	338,450.00
8.3	WWTP Sitework												
8.3.1	Sludge Drying Bed Demolition	1	LS	\$	45,750.00	\$	45,750.00	100%	\$	45,750.00	0%	\$	45,750.00
8.3.2	Misc. Existing Tanks & Buildings Demolition	1	LS	\$	18,459.00	\$	18,459.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.3.3	Staging Area Earthwork & Grading	1	LS	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	100%	\$	25,000.00	0%	\$	25,000.00
8.3.4	Staging Area Temporary Fencing	1	LS	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00	100%	\$	1,500.00	0%	\$	1,500.00
8.3.5	Hot Mix Asphalt Paving	1	LS	\$	38,287.20	\$	38,287.20	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.3.6	Canopy Slab @ CL2 Bldg	1	LS	\$	5,250.00	\$	5,250.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.3.7	Canopy Slab @ Ops Bldg	1	LS	\$	7,350.00	\$	7,350.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	7,350.00
8.3.8	Generator Slab	1	LS	\$	13,125.00	\$	13,125.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	13,125.00
8.3.9	CL2 Mixer Concrete Repair	1	LS	\$	4,200.00	\$	4,200.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.3.10	Chem Storage Slab	1	LS	\$	4,200.00	\$	4,200.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.3.11	3 Steel Canopies	1	LS	\$	36,163.05	\$	36,163.05	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-

8.3.12	3 Bollards per C02	1	LS	\$	2,702.70	\$	2,702.70	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.3.13	Static Mixer & Chlorine Injection Precast Vault	1	LS	\$	16,500.00	\$	16,500.00	26%	\$	4,268.16	50%	\$	8,250.00	76%	\$	12,518.16
8.3.14	Existing Control Room Door	1	LS	\$	12,600.00	\$	12,600.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.3.15	Existing Control Room Roof	1	LS	\$	22,575.00	\$	22,575.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
	WWTP Siterwork Total				\$	\$	253,661.95		\$	76,518.16		\$	28,726.00		\$	106,243.16
8.4	Yard Piping															
8.4.1	12" Effluent Piping	1	LS	\$	60,000.00	\$	60,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.4.2	12" Influent Piping	1	LS	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.4.3	6" Sludge Piping	1	LS	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.4.4	8" Backwash Piping	1	LS	\$	30,000.00	\$	30,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.4.5	Misc. Underground Piping, Tie-Ins, Demo, Etc.	1	LS	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00	10%	\$	1,000.00	20%	\$	2,000.00	30%	\$	3,000.00
8.4.6	Static Mixer & Chlorine Injection Vault Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	3,339.00	\$	3,339.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.4.7	Static Mixer & Chlorine Injection Vault Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	1,443.75	\$	1,443.75	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
	Yard Piping Total				\$	\$	179,782.75		\$	1,000.00		\$	2,000.00		\$	3,000.00
8.5	Headworks															
8.5.1	Influent Pump Installation per SP10	1	LS	\$	6,460.65	\$	6,460.65	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.5.2	Grating Support Channel and New Grating per SP10	1	LS	\$	5,537.70	\$	5,537.70	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.5.3	Headworks Pump Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	20,002.50	\$	20,002.50	11.50%	\$	2,300.00	0.00%	\$	-	11.50%	\$	2,300.00
8.5.4	Headworks Pump Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	7,381.50	\$	7,381.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
	Headworks Total				\$	\$	39,382.35		\$	2,300.00		\$	-		\$	2,300.00
8.6	Biological Treatment Facility															
8.6.1	Excavation	1	LS	\$	75,000.00	\$	75,000.00	100%	\$	75,000.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	75,000.00
8.6.2	Subgrade & Base Rock	1	LS	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	100%	\$	25,000.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	25,000.00
8.6.3	Tank Slab Form & Pour	1	LS	\$	262,500.00	\$	262,500.00	100%	\$	262,500.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	262,500.00
8.6.4	Tank Slab Rebar	1	LS	\$	330,750.00	\$	330,750.00	100%	\$	330,750.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	330,750.00
8.6.5	Tank Walls Form & Pour	1	LS	\$	682,500.00	\$	682,500.00	85%	\$	580,125.00	5%	\$	34,125.00	90%	\$	614,250.00
8.6.6	Tank Walls Rebar	1	LS	\$	309,750.00	\$	309,750.00	95%	\$	294,262.50	3%	\$	9,292.50	98%	\$	303,555.00
8.6.7	Sloped Fills	1	LS	\$	52,500.00	\$	52,500.00	5%	\$	2,625.00	50%	\$	26,250.00	55%	\$	28,875.00
8.6.8	Backfill	1	LS	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	30%	\$	2,250.00	20%	\$	1,500.00	50%	\$	3,750.00
8.6.9	Aluminum Stair with Railing	1	LS	\$	20,245.05	\$	20,245.05	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.10	AeroMod Equipment Installation	1	LS	\$	203,054.25	\$	203,054.25	0%	\$	-	5%	\$	10,152.71	5%	\$	10,152.71
8.6.11	Pipe Connections Bio Treatment 12"INFP, 12"EFF, 8"LPA & 4"LPA - SP01 & SP02 - Material	1	LS	\$	28,407.75	\$	28,407.75	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.12	Pipe Connections Bio Treatment 12"INFP, 12"EFF, 8"LPA & 4"LPA - SP01 & SP02 - Labor	1	LS	\$	23,404.50	\$	23,404.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.13	Selector Tank Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	819.00	\$	819.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.14	Selector Tank Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	3,601.50	\$	3,601.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.15	Conduit and Pneumatic Systems - Material	1	LS	\$	1,312.50	\$	1,312.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.16	Conduit and Pneumatic Systems - Labor	1	LS	\$	8,100.75	\$	8,100.75	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.17	Aeration Tank Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	8,111.25	\$	8,111.25	5%	\$	405.56	95%	\$	7,705.69	100%	\$	8,111.25
8.6.18	Aeration Tank Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	20,160.00	\$	20,160.00	5%	\$	1,008.00	20%	\$	4,032.00	25%	\$	5,040.00
8.6.19	Clarifier Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	5,785.50	\$	5,785.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.20	Clarifier Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	7,203.00	\$	7,203.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.21	Digester Tank Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	5,323.50	\$	5,323.50	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	5,323.50	100%	\$	5,323.50

8.6.22	Digester Tank Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	16,290.75	\$	16,290.75	\$	16,290.75	0%	\$	-	50%	\$	8,145.38	50%	\$	8,145.38
8.6.23	6"SL, 2W, 12"LPA, 4"SL - SP01 - Material	1	LS	\$	58,338.00	\$	58,338.00	\$	58,338.00	57.14%	\$	33,336.00	42.86%	\$	25,002.00	100.00%	\$	58,338.00
8.6.24	6"SL, 2W, 12"LPA, 4"SL - SP01 - Labor	1	LS	\$	34,156.50	\$	34,156.50	\$	34,156.50	38.10%	\$	13,012.00	41.90%	\$	14,313.20	80.00%	\$	27,325.20
8.6.25	7 Sch 10 Stainless LPA Crossovers per SP02	1	LS	\$	9,483.60	\$	9,483.60	\$	9,483.60	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.6.26	9 Hose Racks per SP01	1	LS	\$	7,087.50	\$	7,087.50	\$	7,087.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
				Biological Treatment Total														
8.7	Blower Building					\$	2,206,384.90	\$	2,206,384.90		\$	1,620,274.06		\$	145,841.98		\$	1,766,116.04
8.7.1	Earthwork	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	5,000.00	100%	\$	5,000.00
8.7.2	Blower Building Concrete	1	LS	\$	36,750.00	\$	36,750.00	\$	36,750.00	0%	\$	-	50%	\$	18,375.00	50%	\$	18,375.00
8.7.3	Concrete Masonry	1	LS	\$	20,947.50	\$	20,947.50	\$	20,947.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.7.4	Roof Structure	1	LS	\$	42,000.00	\$	42,000.00	\$	42,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.7.5	Doors	1	LS	\$	7,035.00	\$	7,035.00	\$	7,035.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.7.6	New Blowers in Blower Building	1	LS	\$	6,460.65	\$	6,460.65	\$	6,460.65	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.7.7	Valves and Supports SP20 - Material Only	1	LS	\$	2,798.25	\$	2,798.25	\$	2,798.25	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.7.8	Blower Piping to Mech. Coupling Outside Blower Bldg	1	LS	\$	8,190.00	\$	8,190.00	\$	8,190.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.7.9	2 Bollards per SP19	1	LS	\$	2,086.35	\$	2,086.35	\$	2,086.35	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.7.10	HVAC	1	LS	\$	13,679.40	\$	13,679.40	\$	13,679.40	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
				Blower Building Total														
8.8	Operations Building					\$	144,947.15	\$	144,947.15		\$	-		\$	23,375.00		\$	23,375.00
8.8.1	Basement Gravel Fill	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.2	Concrete Infill	1	LS	\$	15,750.00	\$	15,750.00	\$	15,750.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.3	Interior Framing	1	LS	\$	15,750.00	\$	15,750.00	\$	15,750.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.4	Drywall	1	LS	\$	6,300.00	\$	6,300.00	\$	6,300.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.5	Doors & Windows	1	LS	\$	16,800.00	\$	16,800.00	\$	16,800.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.6	Roof	1	LS	\$	4,200.00	\$	4,200.00	\$	4,200.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.7	Cabinetry	1	LS	\$	11,550.00	\$	11,550.00	\$	11,550.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.8	Misc. Finishes	1	LS	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.9	Plumbing M04 - Rough In	1	LS	\$	8,263.50	\$	8,263.50	\$	8,263.50	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.10	Plumbing M04 - Top-Out	1	LS	\$	21,273.00	\$	21,273.00	\$	21,273.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.8.11	Plumbing M04 - Trim	1	LS	\$	9,975.00	\$	9,975.00	\$	9,975.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
				Operations Building Total														
8.9	Effluent Pumping Station / Chlorine Contact Basin					\$	135,861.60	\$	135,861.60		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-
8.9.1	Structure Demolition	1	LS	\$	14,175.00	\$	14,175.00	\$	14,175.00	0%	\$	-	90%	\$	12,757.50	90%	\$	12,757.50
8.9.2	Install Effluent Pumps & Chlorine Sample Pump	1	LS	\$	6,460.65	\$	6,460.65	\$	6,460.65	0%	\$	-	50%	\$	3,230.33	50%	\$	3,230.33
8.9.3	New HDPE Panels at existing baffle frames	1	LS	\$	6,260.10	\$	6,260.10	\$	6,260.10	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.9.4	FRP Grating per X08	1	LS	\$	6,825.00	\$	6,825.00	\$	6,825.00	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.9.5	Weir Plate per D/SP23	1	LS	\$	2,625.00	\$	2,625.00	\$	2,625.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	2,625.00	100%	\$	2,625.00
8.9.6	PVC Stilling Well per SP23	1	LS	\$	2,310.00	\$	2,310.00	\$	2,310.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	2,310.00	100%	\$	2,310.00
8.9.7	Grating Support Channel and New Grating per SP23	1	LS	\$	5,537.70	\$	5,537.70	\$	5,537.70	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.9.8	Pipe Supports with U Bolts A/C05	1	LS	\$	1,845.90	\$	1,845.90	\$	1,845.90	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.9.9	Effluent Pump Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	22,879.50	\$	22,879.50	\$	22,879.50	23.73%	\$	5,430.00	76.27%	\$	17,449.50	100.00%	\$	22,879.50
8.9.10	Effluent Pump Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	9,093.00	\$	9,093.00	\$	9,093.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	9,093.00	100%	\$	9,093.00
8.9.11	Effluent Pump Station 2W Piping - Material	1	LS	\$	4,898.25	\$	4,898.25	\$	4,898.25	51.04%	\$	2,500.00	48.96%	\$	2,398.25	100.00%	\$	4,898.25

8.9.12	Effluent Pump Station 2W Piping - Labor	1	LS	\$	5,223.75	\$	5,223.75	\$	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	5,223.75	100%	\$	5,223.75
				Effluent Pump Station Total		\$	88,133.86	\$		\$	7,930.00		\$	56,087.33		\$	63,017.33
8.10 Equipment Procurement																	
8.10.1	General Equipment & Mechanical Requirements	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	40%	\$	2,000.00	10%	\$	500.00	50%	\$	2,500.00
8.10.2	Submersible Non-Clog Influent Pumps	1	LS	\$	57,697.50	\$	57,697.50	\$	4.38%	\$	2,528.02	0.00%	\$	-	4.38%	\$	2,528.02
8.10.3	Submersible Non-Clog Effluent Pumps	1	LS	\$	57,697.50	\$	57,697.50	\$	0%	\$	-	28.78%	\$	16,606.27	28.78%	\$	16,606.27
8.10.4	Package Biological Treatment Facility	1	LS	\$	1,563,759.75	\$	1,563,759.75	\$	92.38%	\$	1,444,542.84	0.00%	\$	-	92.38%	\$	1,444,542.84
8.10.5	Static Mixer	1	LS	\$	5,910.45	\$	5,910.45	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.10.6	Laboratory Glassware, Apparatus and Equipment	1	LS	\$	17,000.00	\$	17,000.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.10.7	Sampling, Lab and Monitoring Equipment	1	LS	\$	753.90	\$	753.90	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.10.8	FRP Stop Gates and FRP Chemical Metering Enclosure	1	LS	\$	62,946.99	\$	62,946.99	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.10.9	Chemical Metering Equipment	1	LS	\$	19,778.86	\$	19,778.86	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
				Equipment Total		\$	1,790,544.95	\$		\$	1,449,070.86		\$	17,106.27		\$	1,466,177.13
8.11 Painting																	
8.11.1	Buildings and Doors	1	LS	\$	15,382.50	\$	15,382.50	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.11.2	Piping and Miscellaneous	1	LS	\$	15,382.50	\$	15,382.50	\$	0%	\$	-	10%	\$	1,538.25	10%	\$	1,538.25
				Painting Total		\$	30,765.00	\$		\$	-		\$	1,538.25		\$	1,538.25
8.12 Electrical																	
8.12.1	Generator	1	LS	\$	173,853.75	\$	173,853.75	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.12.2	Light Fixtures	1	LS	\$	24,440.85	\$	24,440.85	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.12.3	Aqua Sierra Controls	1	LS	\$	147,383.25	\$	147,383.25	\$	15.69%	\$	23,125.24	0.00%	\$	-	15.69%	\$	23,125.24
8.12.4	Motor Control Centers, Panels, Transformers, Etc.	1	LS	\$	222,247.20	\$	222,247.20	\$	16.12%	\$	35,836.22	51.97%	\$	115,507.35	68.10%	\$	151,343.57
8.12.5	Underground Trenching, Backfill, Pads, Conduit	1	LS	\$	143,079.30	\$	143,079.30	\$	55.20%	\$	78,982.18	20.00%	\$	28,615.86	75.20%	\$	107,598.04
				Electrical Demo and New Work in Control Bldg, Electric Bldg, Blower Bldg and Operations Bldg													
8.12.6	Blower Bldg and Operations Bldg	1	LS	\$	60,241.65	\$	60,241.65	\$	15%	\$	9,036.25	15%	\$	9,036.25	30%	\$	18,072.50
8.12.7	Headworks, Effluent Pumps, Chlorine Electric	1	LS	\$	11,550.00	\$	11,550.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.12.8	Disposal Site Electric Not Counting Panels	1	LS	\$	6,825.00	\$	6,825.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
8.12.9	Job Move-In Temp Power	1	LS	\$	3,675.00	\$	3,675.00	\$	100%	\$	3,675.00	0%	\$	-	100%	\$	3,675.00
8.12.10	Set Main Switchboard, Service, MCC2	1	LS	\$	36,626.10	\$	36,626.10	\$	25%	\$	9,156.53	10%	\$	3,662.61	35%	\$	12,819.14
8.12.11	Hook-Up New Motors	1	LS	\$	10,363.50	\$	10,363.50	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
				Electrical Total		\$	840,285.50	\$		\$	159,811.41		\$	166,822.07		\$	316,633.48
				Bid Item 8 Total		\$	6,420,000.00	\$		\$	3,806,864.49		\$	449,995.89		\$	4,255,850.38
9 Bid Item 9																	
				All work as required to install the Indirect Sludge Dryer System as defined in Addendum No. 3.													
9.1	Administration Requirements	1	LS	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	70%	\$	14,000.00	5%	\$	1,000.00	75%	\$	15,000.00
9.2	Indirect Sludge Dryer System	1	LS	\$	558,979.15	\$	558,979.15	\$	70%	\$	391,285.41	0%	\$	-	70%	\$	391,285.41
9.3	Demolition	1	LS	\$	5,250.00	\$	5,250.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
9.4	Concrete Slab	1	LS	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
9.5	Decrease Bio Tank Size	1	LS	\$	(45,150.00)	\$	(45,150.00)	\$	85%	\$	(38,377.50)	5%	\$	(2,257.50)	90%	\$	(40,635.00)
9.6	Paving	1	LS	\$	3,300.00	\$	3,300.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
9.7	Gas, Water, Drain & Misc. Piping for Sludge Dryer - Material	1	LS	\$	2,903.25	\$	2,903.25	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
9.8	Gas, Water, Drain & Misc Piping for Sludge Dryer - Labor	1	LS	\$	4,305.00	\$	4,305.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
9.9	Sludge Dryer System Installation	1	LS	\$	33,143.25	\$	33,143.25	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-

9.10	Sludge Dryer Venting	1	LS	\$	49,714.35	\$	49,714.35	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
9.11	Doors	1	LS	\$	9,555.00	\$	9,555.00	\$	0%	\$	-	0%	\$	-
				Bid Item 9 Total	\$	663,000.00	\$	663,000.00		\$	366,907.91		\$	366,660.41
				TOTAL	\$	10,631,000.00	\$	10,631,000.00		\$	5,120,894.06		\$	5,640,449.37

Original Contract Amount \$ 10,631,000.00

Total Change Orders \$ 29,960.11

Total Contract + Change Orders \$ 10,660,960.11

Contract Work + Change Orders Performed To Date \$ 5,657,257.44

Work To Date Minus 5% Retention \$ 5,374,394.57

Previous Billed Less 5% Retention \$ 4,879,202.03

Work To Date Minus Previous Billed \$ 495,192.54

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE \$ 495,192.54

Change Orders

Item	Description of Item	Qty	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Previous		This Period		Total to Date	
						Qty	\$	Qty	\$	Qty	\$
Change Order No. 1											
1-1	PCO 1: Provide Flygt Non-Clog Submersible Pumps rather than listed Wilo Pumps.	1	LS	\$ (3,421.97)	\$ (3,421.97)	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -
1-2	PCO 2: Delete 24' wide double swing access gate	1	LS	\$ -	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -
1-3	PCO 3: Relocation of influent sewer per drawing CO3	1	LS	\$ (9,016.87)	\$ (9,016.87)	100%	\$ (9,016.87)	0%	\$ -	100%	\$ (9,016.87)
1-4	PCO 4: Provide supply bond for Therna-Flite Indirect Sludge Dryer.	1	LS	\$ 2,838.33	\$ 2,838.33	100%	\$ 2,838.33	0%	\$ -	100%	\$ 2,838.33
1-5	PCO 5: Add two 12" 45 elbows with restraints & relocate existing 4" 1W to avoid conflict with the new 12" INFP	1	LS	\$ 2,123.58	\$ 2,123.58	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -
1-6	PCO 6: Provide permanent gutter on west side of existing Dewatering Building	1	LS	\$ 855.00	\$ 855.00	100%	\$ 855.00	0%	\$ -	100%	\$ 855.00
1-7	PCO 7: Provide permanent DI and culvert installation for staging area drainage	1	LS	\$ 1,889.44	\$ 1,889.44	100%	\$ 1,889.44	0%	\$ -	100%	\$ 1,889.44
1-8	PCO 8: Providing permanent crushed rock gravel surface for staging area (material cost only)	1	LS	\$ 4,541.09	\$ 4,541.09	100%	\$ 4,541.09	0%	\$ -	100%	\$ 4,541.09
1-9	PCO 9: Delete misc. instruments per RFI's 5, 6, 7	1	LS	\$ (740.11)	\$ (740.11)	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -	0%	\$ -
1-10	PCO 10: Fabricate and install new PLC SCADA and Pump Control Panel	1	LS	\$ 30,891.62	\$ 30,891.62	50.83%	\$ 15,701.08	0.00%	\$ -	50.83%	\$ 15,701.08
					\$ 29,960.11		\$ 16,808.07		\$ -		\$ 16,808.07
				Change Order No. 1 Total	\$	29,960.11	\$	16,808.07	\$	-	\$ 16,808.07
				TOTAL	\$	29,960.11	\$	16,808.07	\$	-	\$ 16,808.07

675 Wildwood Avenue
Rio Dell, CA 95562



TO: Mayor and Members of the City Council

THROUGH: Jim Stretch, City Manager

FROM: Stephanie Beauchaine, Finance Director

DATE: November 1, 2012

SUBJECT: Change Order #2 on the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Disposal Project

RECOMMENDATION

Approve Pay Request

BUDGETARY IMPACT

Approval of CO #2 will approve a project budget deduction of -\$55,351.96 and increase the WWTP Project contingency balance to \$214,391.82.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

The City has completed its' eighth month of construction for the Wastewater Treatment Plant and Disposal Project (WWTP). As detailed in the attached memo from the construction manager, electrical work continues, Aero-mod equipment has been delivered and is being assembled, and 98% of the disposal site work is complete. Most all of the transmission line piping has been delivered, and drilling is expected to begin November 5, 2012. The drilling is proposed to begin on Eeloa, and work back towards the treatment plant. All affected residents have been noticed, and we will continue to keep the public informed.

The project began with a 1.5% contingency totaling \$189,000. To date one Change Order (CO) including Potential Change Orders (PCO's) #1-10 has been executed in the amount of \$29,960.11, which left a remaining contingency balance of \$159,039.89.

Attached is CO #2 including PCO's #11-17 totaling a net deduction of \$55,351.96. Included are changes to an existing influent pump to allow relay to SCADA \$764.83

(approved by staff), changes to effluent overflow valves lowering the grade to ensure proper protection during field mowing, etc. \$10,041.69, changes to the SCADA panel from one to two doors \$2,364.80 (approved by staff), changes to the grade of the disposal field road to improve longevity \$4,101.72 (approved by staff), and removal of unnecessary excavation at the blower building -\$72,625.00.

Approval of CO#2 will increase the project contingency to \$214,931.82.



It is agreed to modify the Contract referred to above as follows:

Summary: It is agreed to modify the Contract referred to above as follows:

Contract Time prior to this Change Order

INA 540 DAYS

Net Increase (decrease) of this Change Order

NA 10 DAYS

Revised Contract Time with all approved Change Orders

NA 550 DAYS

Accepted for Contractor by: Brat Rinehart

Date 11/2/12

Accepted for Contractor by:

Date _____

Recommended for Approval By (HDR Engineering, Inc.)

Date 11/1/2017

Date _____

Approved for Owner by:

Attest

Date _____

Approved: (Other - when required)

Date _____

675 Wildwood Avenue
Rio Dell, CA 95562
(707) 764-3532



For Meeting of: November 8, 2012

To: City Council

From: Kevin Caldwell, Community Development Director 

Through: Jim Stretch,  City Manager

Date: November 5, 2012

Subject: Wildwood Avenue Enhancement

Recommendation:

That the City Council:

1. Receive and review the updated Opinion of Probable Cost and Order of Priority of the Bid Alternatives;
2. Concur with or revise the Base Project Bid and Order of Priority of the Bid Alternatives.
3. Direct that the Plans, Documents and Opinion of Probable Cost be submitted to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for consideration at their January 2013 meeting.

Discussion

GHD has amended their Opinion of Probable Costs and have included additional Bid Alternative items, including a clock, sidewalk repairs, tree wells and streetscape furniture (benches). GHD has recommended that the Council approve the Base Bid and the sequential priority of Alternatives 1 – 3. The priority of Alternatives 4 – 9 needs to be determined by the Council. Below is GHD's discussion of the revised Opinion of Probable Costs and the additional Bid Alternatives:

Please find the attached opinion of probable construction cost for the Wildwood Ave Project with the following modifications as requested by the City;

- We broke up the project into multiple bid alternates so that we can make sure that if bids come in higher than anticipated there will still be a base project lower than the allowable budget that can still be awarded. The attach cost estimate presents the first three bid alternates in the order that we feel they should be prioritized so that the original project can be completed as recently developed with the City. We would ask that you identify the order of priority for Bid Alternates 4-8 so that we can finalize the project plans and specifications. We anticipate that you will be seeking the Council's input to do so at the council meeting on 11/8 (is it 11/7?)
- There is an bid alternate that provides for new tree wells and one for sidewalk repair, however we need to check with Caltrans to confirm that these is acceptable to add without completing an Archeological Summary and updating the PES. They have indicated that modifying the sidewalks may trigger this requirement. However if we locate the tree wells in the location of the existing tree wells, it would be my hope to avoid any additional studies Not sure about the sidewalk. We are checking with Caltrans on this.
- We have increased the cost of "striping removal" from \$1000 to \$3000 based more recent bid results that we have received that indicate the costs may be higher than originally estimated.
- We have also increased the cost Kevin gave for street furniture to account for installation and mark up by the contractor. Should we wish not to award this alternate to the contractor and have the City forces install the benches it is possible the costs may be reduced.
- We have added an additional PG&E service connection to the estimate for the installation of the clock should one be required. It may be possible that this a new service may not be required if the clock is located such that it can be connected to the service for the gateway sign lighting and electrical.

The Base Bid and Bid Alternates are currently shown in the following order of priority:

• Base Bid:	Eagle Prairie Bridge to Columbus Street.....	\$281,785
• Alternate 1:	Columbus Street to Douglas Street.....	\$40,951
• Alternate 2:	Douglas Street to Elm Street.....	\$34,699
• Alternate 3:	Elm Street to Side Street.....	\$63,496
• Alternate 4:	Welcome Sign (w/ Electric).....	\$16,000
• Alternate 5:	Clock (w pedestal and electric).....	\$22,500
• Alternate 6:	Street Furniture.....	\$ 2,400
• Alternate 7:	Art Pedestals.....	\$ 6,000
• Alternate 8:	Tree Wells.....	\$57,200
• Alternate 9:	Sidewalk and Driveway Repair.....	\$4,000

The order of alternates can be rearranged as the City desires. The current estimate for the base bid and all of the bid alternates is currently about \$80,000 over construction budget so it is likely that we will not be able complete all of the work contemplated. Also, please keep in mind that

much of the work here are standard construction items that are likely to come close to the opinion of probable construction cost. As requested by the City we have estimated the project as tightly as possible to get a real sense of just how much we may hope to accomplish. Therefore there is the possibility that bids may come in higher than the anticipated cost. That is why we have included a contingency in our estimate and would advise that it be maintained at \$52,903 (10%).

Attachments:

1. GHD's Opinion of Probable Costs.

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost					
Agency: CITY OF RIO DELL					
Project Name: WILDWOOD AVENUE PEDESTRIAN AND STREETScape IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT					
Project Location: CITY OF RIO DELL					
Date of Estimate: 11/2/2012					
Prepared by: BV,MP					
BASE BID SCHEDULE ITEMS - STA 20+74 TO 35+51 COLUMBUS STREET TO BRIDGE					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
1	Mobilization/Demobilization ¹	1	LS	\$20,044	\$20,044
2	Construction Area Signs	15	EA	\$300	\$4,500
3	Traffic Control (Throughout Construction)	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000
4	Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000
5	Remove Existing Pavement Striping & Marking	1	LS	\$3,000	\$3,000
	Islands 8-14				
6	Stamped Concrete 4" thick	166	SF	\$11	\$1,826
7	Cold Plane Asphalt Concrete 6" max	958	SY	\$30	\$28,727
8	Roadway Excavation (18", 13 trees ² @ 24")	451	CY	\$30	\$13,536
9	Minor Concrete (6" Curb, A1-6)	1122	LF	\$27	\$30,294
10	Bark/Plants	1	LS	\$53,460	\$53,460
11	Irrigation	1	LS	\$52,800	\$52,800
12	Irrigation Sleeves	1	LS	\$10,930	\$10,930
	Landscape Services Islands 8-13				
13	Irrigation Service Connection & Backflow Prevention	1	LS	\$6,000	\$6,000
14	Soil Placement	1	LS	\$11,157	\$11,157
	Striping, Markers, and Symbols				
15	Thermoplastic Pavement Markings	140	SF	\$10	\$1,400
16	4-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (22, 27B, 21, Loading Zone)	3547	LF	\$3	\$10,641
17	6-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (39, 39A)	2095	LF	\$6	\$12,570
18	8-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (38)	50	LF	\$8	\$400
19	Retroreflective Pavement Marker (Type H Detail 26)	50	EA	\$10	\$500
				Base Bid Schedule Subtotal	\$281,785
				Base Bid Schedule Total Opinion of Probable Construction Cost	\$281,785

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 1 - STA 17+55 to 20+74 DOUGLAS STREET TO COLUMBUS STREET					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Islands 6 & 7				
20	Cold Plane Asphalt Concrete 6" max	157	SY	\$30	\$4,707
21	Roadway Excavation (Assume 18", 1 tree ² @ 24")	74	CY	\$30	\$2,207
22	Minor Concrete (6" Curb, A1-6)	205	LF	\$27	\$5,535
23	Bark/Plants	1	LS	\$9,031	\$9,031
24	Irrigation	1	LS	\$8,920	\$8,920
25	Irrigation Sleeves	1	LS	\$3,100	\$3,100
	Landscape Services Islands 6&7				
26	Soil Placement	1	LS	\$1,819	\$1,819
	Striping, Markers, and Symbols				
27	Thermoplastic Pavement Markings	28	SF	\$10	\$280
28	4-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (27B)	583	LF	\$3	\$1,749
29	6-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (39)	584	LF	\$6	\$3,504
30	Retroreflective Pavement Marker (Type H)	10	EA	\$10	\$100
				Additive Bid Alternate 1 Subtotal	\$40,951
				Additive Bid Alternate 1 Total Opinion of Probable Construction Cost	\$40,951

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 2 - STA 15+18 to 17+55 ELM STREET TO DOUGLAS STREET

Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Islands 4 & 5				
31	Cold Plane Asphalt Concrete 6" max	135	SY	\$30	\$4,063
32	Roadway Excavation (18")	62	CY	\$30	\$1,852
33	Minor Concrete (6" Curb, A1-6)	179	LF	\$27	\$4,833
34	Bark/Plants	1	LS	\$7,290	\$7,290
35	Irrigation	1	LS	\$7,904	\$7,904
36	Irrigation Sleeves	1	LS	\$3,260	\$3,260
	Landscape Services Islands 4&5				
37	Soil Placement	1	LS	\$1,526	\$1,526
	Striping, Markers, and Symbols				
38	Thermoplastic Pavement Markings (Bike Lane w/out Person)	28	SF	\$10	\$280
39	4-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (27B)	399	LF	\$3	\$1,197
40	6-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (39, 39A)	399	LF	\$6	\$2,394
41	Retroreflective Pavement Marker (Type H)	10	EA	\$10	\$100
				Additive Bid Alternate 2 Subtotal	\$34,699
				Additive Bid Alternate 2 Total Opinion of Probable Construction Cost	\$34,699

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 3 - STA 10+00 to 15+18 SIDE STREET TO ELM STREET

Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Islands 1-3				
42	Cold Plane Asphalt Concrete 6" max	220	SY	\$30	\$6,607
43	Roadway Excavation (18")	101	CY	\$30	\$3,031
44	Minor Concrete (6" Curb, A1-6)	288	LF	\$27	\$7,776
45	Bark/Plants	1	LS	\$13,791	\$13,791
46	Irrigation	1	LS	\$13,720	\$13,720
47	Irrigation Sleeves	1	LS	\$6,830	\$6,830
	Landscape Services Islands 4&5				
48	Soil Placement	1	LS	\$2,498	\$2,498
	Striping, Markers, and Symbols				
49	Thermoplastic Pavement Markings	126	SF	\$10	\$1,260
50	4-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (27B)	851	LF	\$3	\$2,553
51	6-Inch Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (39, 39A)	880	LF	\$6	\$5,280
52	Retroreflective Pavement Marker (Type H)	15	EA	\$10	\$150
				Additive Bid Alternate 3 Subtotal	\$63,496
				Additive Bid Alternate 3 Total Opinion of Probable Construction Cost	\$63,496

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 4					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Welcome Sign				
52	Welcome Sign	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 5					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Clock				
53	Clock	1	LS	\$13,500	\$13,500
54	Clock Pedestal	1	LS	\$3,000	\$3,000

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 6					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Street Furniture				
55	Green Powder Coated Metal Park Bench (6')	4	EA	\$600	\$2,400

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 7					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Art Pedestals				
56	Art Pedestals	2	EA	\$3,000	\$6,000

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 8					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Sidewalk				
57	Sidewalk and Driveway Approach Demolition	33	CY	\$30	\$1,000
58	Sidewalk and Driveway Approach Repair	300	SF	\$10	\$3,000

ADDITIVE BID ALTERNATE 9					
Item No.	Description	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total
	Tree Wells				
59	Tree Wells (15 gal Trees)	22	EA	\$2,600	\$57,200

Base Bid Total	\$281,785
Additive Bid Alternate 1 Total	\$40,951
Additive Bid Alternate 2 Total	\$34,699
Additive Bid Alternate 3 Total	\$63,496
Welcome Sign	\$10,000
PG&E Service (Welcome Sign)	\$6,000
Clock	\$16,500
PG&E Service (Clock)	\$6,000
Street Furniture	\$2,400
Art Pedestals	\$6,000
Sidewalk	\$4,000
Tree Wells	\$57,200
TOTAL OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST	\$529,031
Contingency (10%)	\$52,903
Environmental and Permitting	\$11,000
Landscape and Civil Design	\$40,000
Construction Engineering	\$39,000
TOTAL	\$671,934
FUNDS AVAILABLE	\$589,000
DIFFERENCE	-\$82,934

Notes

1	Mobilization/Demobilization = 5% of Total Construction Cost (not including contingencies)
2	Excavation for each tree = 24" deep by 64" wide x 64" long
3	Landscaping: Materials and Installation of plants, topsoil, fertilizer, compost, weed mat, bark, lawn, wood Irrigation: Materials and Installation of piping, pipe fittings, cleaner and primer, solvent cement, sleeves,

Items	Cost	Cumulative Sum
Environmental and Permitting	\$11,000	\$11,000
Landscape and Civil Design	\$40,000	\$51,000
Construction Engineering	\$39,000	\$90,000
Base Bid Total	\$281,785	\$371,785
Additive Bid Alternate 1 Total	\$40,951	\$412,736
Additive Bid Alternate 2 Total	\$34,699	\$447,435
Additive Bid Alternate 3 Total	\$63,496	\$510,931
Welcome Sign	\$10,000	\$520,931
PG&E Service (Welcome Sign)	\$6,000	\$526,931
Clock	\$16,500	\$543,431
PG&E Service (Clock)	\$6,000	\$549,431
Street Furniture	\$2,400	\$551,831
Art Pedestals	\$6,000	\$557,831
Sidewalk and Driveway Repair	\$4,000	\$561,831
Tree Wells	\$57,200	\$619,031
Contingency (10%)	\$52,903	\$671,934
Sum of BID Items	\$529,031	
TOTAL	\$671,934	
FUNDS AVAILABLE	\$589,000	
DIFFERENCE	-\$82,934	



Sign Design:
 Marion Brady, Graphic Design
 803 Pine Street, Eureka, CA. 95501
 707-443-8282

Contact Allpoints
 442-7781
 for blended sign
 and
 Welcome lettering

scale: 1/2"=1'
 3' square, pyramidal river rock bases with stained redwood beams as indicated. Oval sign can be aluminum clad and painted. Incised lettering filled with gold leaf.

675 Wildwood Avenue
Rio Dell, CA 95562



TO: Mayor and Members of the City Council

THROUGH: Jim Stretch *[Signature]* City Manager

FROM: Stephanie Beauchaine, Finance Director *[Signature]*

DATE: October 16, 2012

SUBJECT: Wastewater Rate Study RFP

RECOMMENDATION

Direct the City Manager to execute a contract agreement with Bartle Wells Associates in the amount of \$21,750 to conduct a wastewater rate study.

BUDGETARY IMPACT

To be funded by Wastewater Operating Reserves 5115-03-050. The current reserve balance is \$169,512.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

The City is in receipt of four proposals submitted in response to the Wastewater Rate Study RFP issued in August. The following summarizes the proposals received:

Firm Name	Address	Partner/ Project Manager	Staff	Total Hours	Total Cost	Avg Cost Per Hour
GHD	Eureka	Rebecca Crow	5	280	\$32,635.00	\$116.55
SHN	Eureka	Greg Williston	3	115	\$12,000.00	\$104.35
Bartle Wells Associates	Berkley	Douglas Dove	2	124	\$21,750.00	\$175.40
NBS	San Francisco	Greg Clumpner	2	178	\$29,680.00	\$166.74

The City Manager, Finance Director, and Wastewater Superintendant have completed the review process. Each proposal was scored based on seven criteria listed in the RFP:

availability of personnel, qualifications and expertise, technical soundness of proposed methodology, experience, quality of references, potential conflicts, and cost.

We unanimously recommend Bartle Wells Associates as the preferred firm to complete the Wastewater Rate Study. BWA is an independent financial advisor with 48 years of experience with local governments, specializes in utility rate and fee studies, financial plans and project financing. They have completed over 2,500 assignments in the western United States, including recent assignments for the City of Monterey, Garberville Sanitary District, East Bay Municipal Utility District, City of Ukiah and the Humboldt Bay MWD. Their references have been checked and are very strong. Though they are the second lowest bidder, staff recommends Bartell Well Associates for our wastewater rate study because of their extensive background and experience in the area of municipal utility rate structure and fee analysis.

The City is not obligated to select the lowest bidder, but California Government Code section 4526 does require that the award of the bid must be on the basis of demonstrated competence of the services required.

675 Wildwood Avenue
Rio Dell, CA 95562
(707) 764-3532



To: Honorable Rio Dell City Council

From: Graham Hill, Chief of Police

Through: Jim Streton, City Manager

Date: November 8th, 2012

Subject: Animal Control – Custody/Care

Council Action

1. Approve the City Manager to enter into a temporary agreement with the City of Fortuna to house dogs that have been picked up or turned into the City of Rio Dell. The agreement shall be reviewed within six months and a report made to the City Council regarding the agreement including cost to date, and numbers of animals housed.

Background

On 10-02-2012 Shannon Miranda of Miranda's Animal Rescue came to the Rio Dell City Council meeting and during public comment advised that Miranda's Animal Rescue, due to a large number of dogs coming from Rio Dell, and the large portion of those dogs requiring spay and neuter services, would need to adjust their rate from \$1,200.00 monthly to \$2,500.00 monthly. Shannon reported that the City of Rio Dell had brought 115 dogs to his facility "last year". Our records are substantially different, showing we transported 38 dogs to Miranda's Animal Rescue in 2011, and 27 cats.

I left a message on Shannon's cell phone that I would like to speak with him in regards to the contract. The following week we received a fax from Shannon indicating he will no longer be able to provide services to the City of Rio Dell at the current rate of \$1,200.00 monthly and on November 1st our rate will change to \$2,500.00 monthly. He goes on to say in the faxed letter that until the City of Rio Dell has a mandatory spay/neuter ordinance or (and?) we have fewer dogs and cats that require spay/neuter that he does not intend to negotiate.

Based on our current budget, and our substantially different accounts of how many animals we transported, it is not practical to consider more than doubling our fees to Miranda's Animal Rescue. While I am not totally opposed to working something out in the future with Miranda's I think it is prudent to consider alternatives for the short term until we can come up with a long term plan that is practical. I have prepared the following short term solution:

- I have spoken with Chief Dobberstein of the Fortuna Police Department and we have negotiated a temporary arrangement to accommodate our animals that are relinquished or captured after November 1st. We have come up with a per-animal price of \$200.00 to house animals for a period of ten days, which includes Spay/Neuter in those instances when it is required. Based on subsequent conversations with our City Manager we are still working on certain details, which the Fortuna seems perfectly willing to do. We will continue to work these details out and communicate that information.

In addition to working with the Fortuna Police Department as described above I will be taking the following steps to increase licensing compliance and reduce the amount of occasions we need to use an outside facility to house animal:

- Research the feasibility of making our existing animal shelter facility located at the City Corporation Yard suitable to humanely accommodate all day, and possibly overnight housing of animals using existing Police Department staff. This would allow us to more often locate an animal's owner and return it without requiring the use of a contract shelter.
- Research a spay and neuter ordinance to determine if this would be a viable option for our community.
- Proactively go door to door in the community and pass out information regarding local ordinances including licensing requirements and fees in order to gain a higher level of compliance with local licensing and thus increasing our ability to return dogs to their owners more consistently while at the same time increasing resident's awareness.
- Review our Animal Care and Control ordinance again and determine if there are provisions or amendments that could enhance our ability to gain compliance with licensing, and cost recovery.
- In addition we will be entering photographs of the animals we come into contact with, into our crime management system to enhance our ability to identify dogs and owners.
- The number of Animal Control Incidents will be reported to the City Council on a monthly basis, which will include how many dogs are transported to an outside facility.

Once I have completed these tasks I would like to look at long term options including discussing partnering with other agencies and looking at local private facilities like Miranda's Animal Rescue. One thing that Shannon has brought to light is that we have not looked closely at animal custody services for quite some time. We have enjoyed a positive relationship with Miranda's Rescue for a number of years and while it would be nice to continue that relationship, I think it is prudent to consider all of the options available and determine what would be the best course of action for our city.

In a subsequent conversation with Shannon we have agreed to disagree regarding the number of dogs transported and he reiterated that our current fees don't cover his costs based on his numbers. He stressed that \$2,500.00 covers his costs, however requested I make a counter

offer. I told him our numbers were too far apart and I would need additional time and would be making interim arrangements until I could accomplish certain tasks.

Budgetary Impact

We have approximately \$9,600.00 remaining in our Animal Control Budget, which I propose we maintain in order to pay for animal custody services through the City of Fortuna. While we have benefited from a fixed rate in the past, our new arrangement will be on a per dog basis. Based on 2011 statistics our costs should not exceed what we have budgeted for this service for the 2012-2013 fiscal year.

Recommendation

- Approve the City Manager to enter into a temporary agreement with the City of Fortuna to house dogs that have been picked up or turned into the City of Rio Dell. The agreement shall be reviewed within six months and a report made to the City Council regarding the agreement including cost to date, and numbers of animals housed.
- Provide direction to the Chief of Police to determine the feasibility of upgrading our old animal shelter to humanely house dogs overnight; including getting quotes from contractors. The Chief shall report to the City Manager as soon as this information is gathered so we can make an informed decision on how to proceed at that time.
- Provide direction to the Chief of Police to research and develop information regarding a Spay Neuter Ordinance and bring that information before the City Council at a future date.

Attachments

1. Rio Dell Police Department Documentation of the number of animals transported to Miranda's Animal Rescue for calendar year 2011.

CITY OF RIO DELL
POLICE DEPARTMENT
675 WILDWOOD AVENUE
RIO DELL CA, 95562

Query
Summary

Print Date : 11/02/2012
Record Count : 37
Module : Calls For Service Query Result

Event #	Incident #	Call Type	Date	Time	Location	City	Agency	Dispo
11028442	A/C		12/31/2011	12:50:00	529 GUNNERSON LN	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11028367	A/C		11/22/2011	23:00:00	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11028336	A/C		11/06/2011	11:35:00	118 1ST AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11028300	A/C		10/20/2011	17:41:42	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11028270	A/C		10/11/2011	08:53:00	995 RIVERSIDE # 48	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11028141	A/C		09/18/2011	19:30:00	185 DOUGLAS ST	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027988	A/C		08/30/2011	00:55:00	406 WILDWOOD AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027924	A/C		08/21/2011	08:30:00	149 S CHERRY	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027828	A/C		08/07/2011	10:00:00	EAGLE PRAIRIE ELEMENTARY SCHOO	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027753	A/C		07/27/2011	18:45:00	RIO DELL VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPT.	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027737	A/C		07/26/2011	10:30:00	201 CENTER # 5	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027687	A/C		07/20/2011	19:24:35	100 W CENTER	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027645	A/C		07/16/2011	16:30:00	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027622	A/C		07/13/2011	08:29:13	100 PACIFIC	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027568	A/C		07/07/2011	17:25:00	209 ASH ST	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027516	A/C		07/04/2011	00:35:00	133 WILDWOOD AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027475	A/C		06/29/2011	23:00:00	875 PACIFIC AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027298	A/C		06/10/2011	14:50:00	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027115	A/C		05/23/2011	08:41:33	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11027033	A/C		05/14/2011	11:30:00	538 3RD AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026923	A/C		05/02/2011	12:22:22	790 IRELAND ST	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026926	A/C		05/02/2011	14:44:18	203 WILDWOOD AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026841	A/C		04/25/2011	12:33:13	200 BELLEVIEW AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026763	A/C		04/15/2011	14:37:27	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026733	A/C		04/12/2011	18:38:00	350 2ND # B	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026696	A/C		04/08/2011	18:48:14	508 RIGBY AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026651	A/C		04/04/2011	10:15:29	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026614	A/C		03/31/2011	10:15:07	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR

Event #	Incident #	Call Type	Date	Time	Location	City	Agency	Dispo
11026366		A/C	03/08/2011	12:15:00	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026276		A/C	03/01/2011	12:06:28	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026241		A/C	02/26/2011	14:45:00	RIO DELL VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPT.	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026224		A/C	02/24/2011	14:30:00	300 1ST AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11026179		A/C	02/22/2011	09:53:43	ADVENTURES IN ART	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11025896		A/C	02/02/2011	08:09:30	700 WILDWOOD AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11025877		A/C	01/31/2011	09:45:00	201 CENTER # 7	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11025826	R11-0029	A/C	01/26/2011	07:15:00	SHELL STATION	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR
11025631		A/C	01/15/2011	13:10:00	100 WILDWOOD	RIO DELL	RDPD	DOGMIR

These are incidents when a dog was transported to Mirandas Animal Rescue but they do not account for when more than one dogt was transported during a single incident. The number of dogs transported are accounted for in the officers narrative for each Call For Service Report. I have reviewed every narrative and the total number of dogs transported is thirty-eight (38).

CITY OF RIO DELL
POLICE DEPARTMENT
675 WILDWOOD AVENUE
RIO DELL CA, 95562

Query
Summary

Print Date : 11/02/2012

Record Count : 17

Module : Calls For Service Query Result

Event #	Incident #	Call Type	Date	Time	Location	City	Agency	Dispo
11028362	A/C		11/20/2011	17:40:00	201 2ND # B	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11028360	A/C		11/18/2011	14:04:42	201 2ND AV # B	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11028297	A/C		10/19/2011	10:50:11	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11028135	A/C		09/18/2011	17:22:00	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027643	A/C		07/15/2011	17:10:00	220 CHERRY LN	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027474	A/C		06/29/2011	20:24:49	470 1ST AV	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027391	A/C		06/20/2011	10:43:35	715 WALNUT DR	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027356	A/C		06/16/2011	18:03:43	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027293	A/C		06/10/2011	13:18:39	53 W CENTER # E	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027286	A/C		06/09/2011	10:45:00	715 WALNUT DR	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027255	A/C		06/06/2011	14:25:00	715 WALNUT DR	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11027145	A/C		05/27/2011	12:08:37	930 BLUFF PL	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11026807	A/C		04/22/2011	11:45:00	186 BIRCH ST	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11026704	A/C		04/09/2011	21:30:00	186 BIRCH ST	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11026307	A/C		03/04/2011	14:16:56	480 EDWARDS DR	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11025617	A/C		01/14/2011	11:30:33	RIO DELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR
11025370	A/C		01/01/2011	15:25:00	700 RIGBY	RIO DELL	RDPD	CATMIR

These are incidents when a cat was transported to Mirandas Animal Rescue but they do not account for when more than one cat was transported during a single incident. The number of cats transported are accounted for in the officers narrative for each Call For Service Report. I have reviewed every narrative and the total number of cats transported is twenty-seven (27).

675 Wildwood Avenue
Rio Dell, CA 95562
(707) 764-3532



For Meeting of: November 8, 2012

To: City Council

From: Kevin Caldwell, Community Development Director 

Through: Jim Stretch, City Manager 

Date: October 26, 2012

Subject: Parking Regulations

Recommendation:

That the City Council:

1. Receive staff's report regarding the proposed Parking Regulations;
2. Open the public hearing, receive public input and deliberate;
3. Approve and adopt Ordinance No. 295-2012 repealing the existing Parking Regulations and establishing new Parking Regulations, Section 17.30.180 of the Rio Dell Municipal Code (RDMC);
4. Direct the City Clerk, within 5 days after adoption of the Ordinance, to post an adoption summary of the Ordinance with the names of those City Council members voting for or against, or otherwise voting in at least three (3) public places and to post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of the full text of the adopted Ordinance pursuant to Section 36933(a) of the California Government Code.

Summary

At your meeting of October 16, 2012 your Council introduced (first reading) Ordinance No. 295-2012 repealing the existing Parking Regulations and establishing new Parking Regulations, Section 17.30.180 of the Rio Dell Municipal Code. The public hearing was opened and testimony was provided regarding the proposed amendments. The public hearing was continued to this meeting.

As evidenced in the Staff Report prepared for the October 16, 2012 meeting, the process for the Ordinance has been followed and staff believes the required Public Interest and General Plan Consistency findings can be made.

Based on the nature of the project, staff determined that the project is Statutorily Exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15061(b) (3) of the CEQA Guidelines, Title 14, Chapter 3 of the California Code of Regulations. Pursuant to Section 15061(b) (3) of the CEQA Guidelines this exemption is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a **significant** effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the project in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the project is not subject to CEQA. Based on the nature of the proposed amendment, staff believes there is no evidence to suggest that the amendment will have a significant effect on the environment.

As required by Section 36933(c)(1) of the California Government Code, on November 26, 2012 staff posted the pre-adoption summary at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the November 8, 2012 City Council meeting. Within five (5) days after adoption staff will post the post adoption summary as required by Section 36933(a) of the California Government Code.

Attachments:

1. Ordinance No. 295-2012 repealing the existing Parking Regulations and establishing new Parking Regulations, Section 17.30.180 of the Rio Dell Municipal Code.
2. Pre Adoption Summary.
3. Post Adoption Summary.

ORDINANCE NO. 295 - 2012

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RIO DELL
REPEALING THE EXISTING PARKING REGULATIONS AND ESTABLISHING NEW DESIGN
PARKING REGULATIONS, SECTION 17.30.180 OF THE RIO DELL MUNICIPAL CODE:**

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RIO DELL DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS the General Plan contains policies that encourage planned, orderly development; and

WHEREAS the proposed Parking Regulations promote orderly and harmonious growth within the City; and

WHEREAS the proposed Parking Regulations address a number of issues, including handicapped, motorcycle and bicycle parking, landscaping, stormwater runoff, lighting, driveway locations, angled parking and exception provisions; and

WHEREAS the proposed Parking Regulations also include additional use types, not currently identified in the existing parking regulations; and

WHEREAS the City has reviewed and processed the proposed Parking Regulations in conformance with Sections 65350 – 65362 of the California Government Code; and

WHEREAS the City has reviewed and processed the proposed Parking Regulations in conformance with Section 17.30.010 of the City of Rio Dell Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS the City finds that based on evidence on file and presented in the staff report that the proposed Parking Regulations are deemed to be in the public interest; and

WHEREAS the City finds that based on evidence on file and presented in the staff report that the proposed Parking Regulations are consistent and compatible with a comprehensive view of the General Plan and any implementation programs that may be affected; and

WHEREAS the City finds that based on evidence on file and presented in the staff report that the potential impacts of the proposed Parking Regulations has been assessed and have been determined not to be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare; and

WHEREAS the proposed Parking Regulations has been processed in accordance with the applicable provisions of the California Government Code and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); and

WHEREAS the City has determined that the proposed Parking Regulations is Statutorily Exempt pursuant to Section 15061(b) (3) of the CEQA Guidelines, Title 14, Chapter 3 of the California Code of Regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Rio Dell:

1. Finds that the proposed Parking Regulations are in the public interest and consistent with an overall comprehensive view of the General Plan; and
2. Finds that based on evidence on file and presented in the staff report that the potential impacts of the proposed Parking Regulations have been assessed and have been determined not to be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare; and
3. Finds that based on the nature of the project, the project is Statutorily Exempt pursuant to Section 15061(b) (3) of the CEQA Guidelines, Title 14, Chapter 3 of the California Code of Regulations. Pursuant to Section 15061(b) (3) of the CEQA Guidelines this exemption is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a **significant** effect on the environment.
4. Repeals the existing Parking Regulations; and
5. Approves the proposed Parking Regulations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Rio Dell does hereby ordain as follows:

Section 1.

Section 17.30.180 is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2.

17.30.180 Parking Regulations

(1) Purpose and Intent.

(a) Purpose. The general purpose of these requirements is to enhance public safety by minimizing traffic congestion, by providing for off-street motor vehicle parking and thereby permitting safe passage of passengers to and from their destinations.

(b) Intent. The intent of these off-street parking requirements is to provide for the on-site, off-street parking of motor vehicles associated with any use or uses on the premises. More off-street parking will allow on-street parking to be limited or prohibited to permit greater utilization of streets for moving traffic. The facilities required by these requirements represent the minimum that will be required by the various land use types. It shall be the responsibility of the developer, owner or operator of any specific use to provide adequate off-street parking even though such parking is in excess of the minimum requirements set forth in these requirements.

(2) General Parking Regulations

(a) Parking and Loading Spaces to be Permanent: Each parking and loading space shall be permanently available, marked, and maintained for parking or loading purposes. Areas not reviewed and approved for use as parking or loading shall not be used for such purposes.

(b) **Parking and Loading to be Unrestricted:** A lessee, owner, tenant, or other person having control of the operation of premises for which parking or loading spaces are required by this Chapter shall not prevent, prohibit, or restrict authorized persons from using the spaces without the prior approval of the Community Development Director.

(c) **Vehicles for Sale:** Vehicles, trailers, or other personal property shall not be parked on private property for the purpose of displaying the vehicles, trailers, or other personal property for hire, rental, or sale, unless the property is appropriately zoned, approved by the City for that use, and the person or business at that location is licensed to sell vehicles, trailers, or other personal property. However, one vehicle or trailer owned by the lessee, owner, or renter of the property may be displayed for the purpose of sale for a maximum of 30 days. This shall not be construed to allow the continued sale of vehicles.

(d) **Recreational Vehicle Parking:** The storage (parking for any period longer than 72 hours) of a recreational vehicle and/or boat in a residential zoning district shall be allowed only when all portions of the vehicle or boat are located entirely within the property boundaries and do not extend into the public right-of-way, including public utility easements and sidewalks.

(3) Location of Off-Street Parking

(a) Required parking facilities shall be located on the same building site and conveniently close to the use or uses they serve, and shall be designed, located, constructed and maintained so as to be fully and independently usable and accessible at all times. The required parking facilities for multi-family, commercial and industrial uses shall not be located within the required setbacks of the zone where the property is located. Lawns, yards and other landscaped areas shall not be used as parking areas.

(4) Location Exception

(i) Exceptions to the location requirement for parking facilities for commercial uses may be allowed with a Conditional Use Permit if it is found that:

(ii) A substitute parking area is to be provided and remain available for as long as the use to which the required parking pertains shall continue; and

(iii) The substitute parking area is within an area designated in the General Plan for commercial or other business use and within which parking is a permitted and compatible use; and

(iv) All or part of the substitute location is within four hundred feet (400') of the principal use for which the parking is being provided, measured in walking distance along the way open to public pedestrian passage; and

(v) The substitute parking area is owned by the owner of the property on which the use for which the parking is being provided or is owned by a public entity empowered to provide public parking facilities; or

(5) Amount Exception

(a) Exceptions to the requirements for the number of off-street parking spaces may be allowed with a Conditional Use Permit provided evidence is submitted in support of the exception. Exceptions may be granted by the hearing officer based upon the following factors:

- (i) Geographic location of site;
- (ii) Levels of anticipated use.
- (iii) Site specific topographic constraints;
- (iv) Historically designated structures;
- (v) Proximity to urban built-up areas; and

(6) Parking and Aisle Dimensions

(a) Each parking space and drive aisle shall comply with the minimum dimension required below:

Minimum Off-Street Parking Dimensions

Parking Angle	Standard Spaces		Compact Spaces		Minimum Aisle Width (maneuvering areas)	
	Minimum Width	Minimum Length	Minimum Width	Minimum Length	One-Way	Two-Way
Parallel	8.5 ft	23 ft	7 ft	18 ft	12 ft	20 ft
30 Degrees	8.5 ft	17 ft	7.5 ft	17 ft	11 ft	20 ft
45 Degrees	8.5 ft	19.5 ft	7.5 ft	16 ft	13.5 ft	20 ft
60 Degrees	8.5 ft	21 ft	7.5 ft	17 ft	18.5 ft	20 ft
Perpendicular	8.5 ft	19 ft	7.5 ft	16 ft	25 ft	25 ft

(b) No compact car spaces shall be allowed in parking areas containing less than twelve (12) parking spaces.

(c) In lots where compact car spaces are permitted, up to twenty-five percent (25%) of all spaces in the lot may be compact car parking spaces.

(d) Compact car spaces shall be visibly marked and/or posted with signs and shall be clustered in one section of the parking area.

(7) Surfacing

(a) All parking spaces, access drives and maneuvering areas shall be improved and permanently maintained with an all-weather durable asphalt, concrete or comparable surface as approved by the Director of Public Works.

(b) Residential: Required single family residential parking spaces, access drives and maneuvering areas may be surfaced with gravel, decomposed granite or other all-weather surface as approved by the Director of Public Works, provided that the first 25 feet from a paved public street is paved with durable asphalt, concrete or comparable surface.

(8) Striping

(a) Parking spaces shall be clearly delineated with white, four-inch wide lines painted on the parking surface or with alternative materials as approved by the Director of Public Works.

(b) The striping shall be continuously maintained in a clear and visible manner in compliance with the approved plans.

(9) Curbing/Wheel Stops

(a) Curbing: Concrete curbing at least six inches in height and six inches wide shall be required for all uses, other than single family residential, for parking areas located adjacent to fences, walls, property lines, landscaped areas and structures.

(b) Individual Wheel Stops: Concrete wheel stops or comparable wheel stops approved by the Public Works Director shall be firmly attached to the ground and placed to allow two feet of front vehicle overhang within the dimension of the parking space.

(c) Curbed Walkways: Curbed walkways may be used as wheel stops and partially included in the minimum length of the parking space, provided a minimum walkway width of four feet remains for safe and convenient pedestrian use.

(10) Driveways and Site Access

(a) Number of Access Driveways: To limit the number of potential conflicts between pedestrians, bicyclists and motor vehicles, the number of access drives per parcel shall be the minimum number required to serve the intended use of the parcel. The Director of Public Works in consultation with the Community Development Director and the Police Chief shall determine the permitted number of access driveways based on public safety and the intended use of the parcel.

(b) Distance From Street Corners: Each access driveway shall be located a minimum of 50 feet from the nearest intersection, as measured from the centerline of the access road driveway to the centerline of the nearest travel lane of the intersecting street, unless a lesser or greater distance is approved or required by the Director of Public Works.

(c) Access Driveway Spacing: Access driveways shall be separated along the street frontage in compliance with the following:

(i) Single Family and Duplex Development: Access driveways on a single parcel shall be separated by at least 25 feet. Access driveways on separate parcels shall be separated by at least 10 feet, unless shared single access is approved by the Director of Public Works. The separation shall not include the transition or wing sections on each side of the access drive.

(ii) Multi-Family and Non-Residential Development: Where two or more access drives serve the same or adjacent multifamily or non-residential development, the centerline of the access drives shall be separated by a minimum of 30 feet. Exceptions to this standard may be approved by the Director of Public Works.

(iii) Approval of all Access Points: Entrances from exits to streets and alleys shall require Encroachment Permits, and shall only be provided at locations approved by the Director of Public Works.

(11) Access Driveways Widths and Lengths

(a) Single Family Residential

(i) Each single family residence shall be provided an access driveway with a minimum length of 20 feet from the back of the sidewalk, or the edge of the right-of-way where there is no sidewalk.

(ii) The access driveway width shall be between 10 and 20 feet wide.

(b) Multi-Family or Non-Residential Developments

(i) Two-way access driveways shall have a minimum width of 25 feet.

(ii) One-way access driveways shall have a minimum width of 16 feet.

(12) Landscaping Requirements

Landscaping shall be provided in all areas of a site subject to development with structures, grading, or the removal of natural vegetation, as follows.

(a) Parking Areas: Parking areas, other than single-family residential and secondary units, containing three or more parking spaces shall be landscaped as follows.

(i) Landscape Materials: Landscaping shall be provided throughout the parking lot as a combination of ground cover, shrubs, and trees.

(ii) Curbing: Landscape areas shall be provided protective curbing in compliance with Section **17.30.180(8)** (Curbing).

(iii) Groundwater Recharge: The design of parking lot landscape areas shall consider and may be required to include provisions for the on-site detention of stormwater runoff, pollutant cleansing, and groundwater recharge.

(13) Perimeter Parking Lot Landscaping

All surface parking areas shall be screened from streets and adjoining properties, and open areas between the parking area and the public street shall be landscaped.

(a) Adjacent to Streets: Parking areas adjacent to streets shall subject to the following regulations:

(i) A proposed parking area adjacent to a public street shall be designed with a landscaped planting strip between the street right-of-way and parking area with a minimum depth of 6 feet.

(ii) Landscaping within the planting strip shall be designed and maintained to screen cars from view from the street to a minimum height of 18 inches, but shall not exceed any applicable height limit for landscaping within a setback.

(iii) Screening materials may include a combination of plant materials, earth berms, solid decorative masonry walls, raised planters, or other screening devices that are determined by the review authority to meet the intent of this requirement.

(iv) Trees that reach a mature height of at least 20 feet shall be provided within the planting strip in addition to trees within the parking lot interior required by Subsection (a)(v). Trees types shall have root systems that will not extend beyond the planting area.

(v) Plant materials, signs, or structures within a traffic safety sight area of a driveway shall comply with Section 17.30.090(1) (Corner Lots – Sight Distance).

(b) Adjacent to Side or Rear Property Lines: Parking areas for nonresidential uses shall provide a screen or perimeter landscape strip where the parking area adjoins a side or rear property line unless, the sites share a joint access drive. The requirement for a landscape strip may be satisfied by a setback or buffer area that is otherwise required.

(c) Adjacent to Structures: When a parking area is located adjacent to a nonresidential structure, a landscape strip shall be provided adjacent to the structure, exclusive of any building entries, or areas immediately adjacent to the wall of the structure that serve as pedestrian access ways.

(d) Adjacent to Residential Use: A parking area for a nonresidential use adjoining a residential use shall provide a landscaped buffer setback with a minimum six-foot width between the parking area and the common property line bordering the residential use. A solid wall or fence, except for approved pedestrian access, and landscape buffer, shall be provided along the property line to address land use compatibility issues (e.g., nuisance, noise, and light/glare) as determined by the review authority.

(14) Interior Parking Lot Landscaping.

(a) Amount of Landscaping: Multi-family, commercial and industrial uses shall provide landscaping within each outdoor parking area at a minimum ratio of 10 percent of the gross area of the parking lot. Trees that reach a mature height of at least 20 feet shall be planted within the parking lot at a minimum ratio of one tree for each five parking spaces.

(b) Location of Landscaping: Landscaping shall be dispersed throughout the parking area, as follows.

(i) Parking lots with more than 50 spaces shall provide a concentration of landscape elements at primary entrances, such as trees, shrubs, flowering plants, enhanced paving, and project identification.

(ii) Landscaping shall be located so that pedestrians are not required to cross unpaved landscaped areas to reach building entrances from parked cars. This shall be achieved through proper orientation of the landscaped fingers and islands, and by providing pedestrian access through landscaped areas that would otherwise block direct pedestrian routes.

(15) Parking Lot Lighting

(a) An outdoor light fixture shall be limited to a maximum height of 15 feet or the height of the nearest building, whichever is less.

(b) Outdoor lighting shall utilize energy-efficient (Light-Emitting Diode (LED), high pressure sodium, low pressure sodium, hard-wired compact fluorescent, or other lighting technology that is of equal or greater energy efficiency) fixtures and lamps and motion sensors and/or daylight sensors unless determined infeasible by the review authority.

(c) Lighting fixtures shall be shielded or recessed to reduce light bleed to adjoining properties, by:

(i) Ensuring that the light source (e.g., bulb, etc.) is not visible from off the site; and

(ii) Confining glare and reflections within the boundaries of the site to the maximum extent feasible.

(iii) Each light fixture shall be directed downward and away from adjoining properties and public rights-of-way, so that no on-site light fixture directly illuminates adjacent properties.

(d) No lighting on private property shall produce an illumination level greater than one foot-candle on any property within a residential zoning district except on the site of the light source.

(e) No permanently installed lighting shall blink, flash, or be of unusually high intensity or brightness, as determined by the review authority.

(16) Required Off-Street Parking.

(a) Off-street parking facilities shall be provided for any new building constructed and for any new use established.

(i) The provisions of this subsection do not apply to existing structures located on Wildwood Avenue, south of Davis Street

(b) Off-street parking facilities shall be provided for any addition or enlargement of an existing building or use, or any manner of operation that would result in additional parking spaces being required, provided that additional parking shall be required only for such addition, enlargement, or change and not the entire building or use, unless space is available.

(c) Requirements for Lots Fronting Unimproved Roads: Whenever a use for which off-street parking is required is served by a roadway not improved to a width of forty feet (40') with asphalt or gravel, the following provisions shall be applicable:

(i) Additional improved off-street parking must be provided consistent as determined by the review authority or a parking lane may be constructed along the frontage of the lot in lieu of such additional parking requirements.

(ii) If the lot frontage exceeds one hundred twenty feet (120') the parking lane shall not be required to accommodate more than three (3) vehicles. Construction standards for the parking lane shall be as specified by the Department of Public Works in accordance with the City's Standard Improvement Specifications.

(d) Parking Requirements for Uses Not in Compliance With Current Parking Requirements.

(i) No additional parking spaces shall be required whenever existing uses not in compliance with the parking standards of this Code are transferred to new owners or operators who will continue the use without significant change, or

(ii) When new uses are initiated within existing structures which generate the same level of parking demand as the former use, or

(iii) When the new use generates a lower parking demand.

(iv) Whenever the use of any premises which is not in compliance with the parking standards of this Code is enlarged, expanded, or intensified, additional parking spaces consistent with this Code shall be provided only for the enlargement, expansion, or intensification, and not for the entire use, unless space is available.

(v) Whenever the use of any premises which is not in compliance with the parking standards of this Code is changed to a use where a higher parking demand is identified, additional parking spaces consistent with this Code shall be provided only for the additional intensity of the use, and not for the entire use, unless space is available.

(e) Levels of use, as they relate to this division, shall be determined by the Community Development Director in consultation with the Director of Public Works based on engineering standards and design studies, and the principal and conditional uses of the specific zone district.

(f) Multiple Uses and Joint Use. Whenever more than one use is proposed for a development site, the total off-street parking spaces required shall be the sum of the spaces required for each use. Off-street parking facilities for one use shall not be considered as providing parking facilities for any other use, except when use of the parking facilities for the different uses would not be concurrent or would otherwise not be conflicting, as determined by the Community Development Director in consultation with the Director of Public Works.

(17) Number of Parking Spaces Required

(a) Each land use shall be provided the number of off-street parking spaces required by this Section. Each space shall be independently accessible. See Sections **17.30.180(19)** and **17.30.180(20)** for off-street parking requirements for bicycles and motorcycles, respectively.

Land Use Type	Minimum Number of Required Off-Street Parking Spaces
Residential	
Single Family & Duplexes	2 spaces per dwelling unit
Multi-Family (3 or more units)	
One Bedroom or Less	1 space per dwelling unit
Two or Three Bedrooms	2 spaces per dwelling unit
Four Bedrooms or More	2.5 spaces per dwelling unit
Guest Parking	.5 spaces per dwelling unit

Land Use Type	Minimum Number of Required Off-Street Parking Spaces
Commercial	
Ambulance Service	3 spaces for each emergency vehicle.
Animal Hospitals & Kennels	1 space for each 500 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Appliance & Furniture Sales	1 space for each 500 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Art Galleries/Studios	1 space for every 300 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Auditoriums, Assembly Hall, Community Centers, Theaters	1 space for every 4 seats. Without fixed seats, 1 space for every 100 sq. ft. of assembly area.
Automobile & Boat Sales	4 spaces for first 10,000 sq. ft. of lot area, plus 1 space for each 3,000 sq. ft. thereafter.
Automobile Repair, Tire Sales & Repairs	3 spaces for each service stall/bay.
Banks, Credit Unions, Savings & Loans	1 space for every 250 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Barbershops, Hair Salons	2 spaces for each chair or station.
Bed Breakfast Inns	1 space for each guest room, plus 2 additional spaces.
Hotels & Motels	1 space for each guest room, plus 2 additional spaces.
Night Clubs, Bars, Cocktail Lounges	1 space for every 200 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Professional Offices, including Engineers, Architects, Realtors, Financial Advisors, Medical & Dental	1 space for every 250 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Retail Sales & Service	1 space for every 250 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Restaurants	1 space for every 4 seats or 1 space for every 200 sq. ft. of dining area, which is ever greater.
Shopping Centers	1 space for every 300 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Industrial Uses	
Mini Storage Facilities	1 space for every 20 units.
Warehouses, Wholesale Manufacturing	1 space for every 500 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Recreational Uses	
Amusement Arcades	1 space for each 300 sq. ft. of gross floor area, plus 10 bicycle spaces/racks within 25 feet of main entrance

Land Use Type	Minimum Number of Required Off-Street Parking Spaces
Recreational Uses Continued	
Batting Cages/Golf Driving Range	1.5 spaces per batting stand or tee.
Billiard/Pool Hall	2 spaces per table.
Bowling Alleys	3 spaces for each lane.
Card Room, Bingo	1 space for every 4 seats.
Fitness Clubs	1 space for every 250 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Skating Rinks	1 space for every 500 sq. ft. of gross floor area.
Other uses	
Care, Convalescent & Nursing Homes	1 space for every 2 beds
Child Care Center	1 space per 8 children.
Churches, Worship Facilities	1 space for every 4 seats. Without fixed seats, 1 space for every 100 sq. ft. of assembly area.
Hospitals	1 space for every 2 beds
Mortuaries	1 space for every 4 seats. Without fixed seats, one space for every 100 sq. ft. of assembly area.

(b) **Parking Spaces for Uses Not Specified:** The parking space requirements for uses not set forth in this Code shall be fixed by the Community Development Director in consultation with the Director of Public Works based upon available studies and standards for the most comparable use.

(c) **Fractional Spaces:** Where the application of the parking requirement table results in a fractional parking space requirement, a fraction of 0.5 or higher shall be resolved to the higher whole number.

(d) **Variable Demand:** Where the demand for parking is variable because of work shifts or peak business periods, parking space requirements shall be based upon the periods of highest parking demand.

(18) Handicap Parking Facilities

(a) **Parking Facilities for the Physically Handicapped:** Facilities accommodating the general public, including but not limited to auditoriums, theaters, restaurants, hotels, motels, stadiums, retail establishments, medical offices and office buildings shall provide parking spaces for the physically handicapped.

(b) **Location of Handicap Spaces:** The handicapped parking spaces must be located so they are the closest spots to the accessible entrance and should be on ground level. The only exception is if the closest space is on a slope or uneven surface that would make it difficult to maneuver a

wheelchair through. In that case, the closest flat space should be designated for handicapped parking. There must also be an accessible route between the parking spot and the entrance way. On this route there cannot be stairs or sloped surfaces, and it must be slip-proof.

(c) Size of Handicap Spaces: Handicapped parking spaces shall be at least 9 feet wide and 18 feet long. Access aisles for cars must be at least 18 feet long and 5 feet wide. Access aisles for vans must be at least 18 feet long and 8 feet wide.

(d) Number of Handicap Spaces: Parking facilities containing 1 through 25 spaces, inclusive, shall include one (1) handicapped parking space permanently signed with the international symbol of accessibility. One more handicapped space shall be provided for each additional 25 spaces or increment thereof. One out of every 8 stalls

(19) Bicycle Parking Facilities

Each multi-family and non-residential land use shall provide bicycle parking in compliance with this Section.

(a) Bicycle Parking Design, Devices and Location: Each bicycle parking or storage space shall be no less than 6 feet long by 2 feet wide, plus additional space as may be needed for access, and shall have a rack or shelter capable of supporting and securing bicycles of various types and sizes in an upright position. Each space shall be conveniently located and interfere with pedestrian walkways.

(b) Number of Bicycle Spaces: Bicycle spaces shall be required as follows:

Vehicle Parking Spaces Required	Number of Bicycle Spaces Required
3 - 25	3
26 - 50	5
51 - 75	10
76 - 100	15
100+	20

(20) Motorcycle Parking

A parking lot with 20 or more spaces shall provide motorcycle parking spaces conveniently located near the main entrance of a structure and accessed by the same aisles that provide access to the vehicle parking spaces in the lot.

(a) Number of Parking Spaces: A minimum of 1 motorcycle parking space shall be provided for each 20 vehicle spaces or fraction thereof.

(b) Space Dimensions: Each motorcycle space shall have a minimum dimension of 4 feet wide by 7 feet long.

(21) Loading Space Requirements

(a) Number of Required Loading Spaces: Non-residential uses shall provide off-street loading spaces in compliance with the Table below. Requirements for uses not listed shall be determined by the Community Development Director in consultation with the Director of Public Works based upon the requirements for comparable uses.

Required Off-Street Loading Spaces

Type of Land Use	Total Gross Floor Area	Loading Spaces required
Industrial, Manufacturing, Research and Development, Institutional and Service Uses	5,000 to 40,000 sq. ft.	1
	40,001 + sq. ft.	1 for each additional 40,000 sq. ft. up to a maximum of 3
Office and Retail Commercial	15,000 sq. ft. to 100,000 sq. ft.	1
	100,000 + sq. ft.	1 for each additional 100,000 sq. ft. up to a maximum of 3

(b) Standards for Off-Street Loading Areas: Off-street loading areas shall be provided in compliance with the following:

(i) Dimensions: Loading spaces shall be a minimum of 11 feet in width, 35 feet in length, with 14 feet of vertical clearance;

(ii) Lighting: Lighting shall also comply with the provisions of Section 17.30.180(15) (Parking Lot Lighting).

(c) Location of Loading Areas: The location of off-street loading areas shall be provided in compliance with the following:

(i) As near as possible to the main structure and limited to the rear two-thirds of the parcel, if feasible;

(ii) Situated to ensure that loading and unloading takes place on-site and in no case faces a public street, or is located within a required front setback, adjacent public right-of-way, or other on-site traffic circulation areas;

(iii) Situated to ensure that all vehicular maneuvers occur on-site. The loading areas shall allow vehicles to enter from and exit to a public street in a forward motion only; and

(iv) Situated to avoid adverse impacts upon neighboring residential properties and located no closer than 25 feet from a residential zoning district unless adequately screened.

(v) Loading spaces shall not be required for existing structures/uses located south of Elm Street on Wildwood Avenue.

(d) Loading Ramps: Plans for loading ramps or truck wells shall be accompanied by a profile drawing showing the ramp, ramp transitions, and overhead clearances.

(e) Screening: Loading areas shall be screened from abutting parcels and streets with a combination of dense landscaping and solid masonry walls with a minimum height of six feet.

(f) Striping. The striping of off-street loading areas shall be provided in compliance with Section 17.30.180(8) and the following:

(i) Loading spaces shall be striped, and identified for "loading only."

(ii) The striping and "loading only" notations shall be continuously maintained in a clear and visible manner in compliance with the approved plans.

(g) Surfacing: The surfacing of off-street loading areas shall be provided in compliance with the following:

(i) All loading areas shall be surfaced with asphalt, concrete pavement, durable pervious surface, or comparable material as determined by the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer when necessary and shall be graded to dispose of all surface water to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works;

(h) Grading Plans: All grading plans relating to the loading facilities shall be reviewed and approved by the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer when necessary before any work can commence.

(i) Modifications: The Community Development Director in consultation with the Director of Public Works based may modify the provisions of this Section, where the Community Development Director first determines that the operating, shipping, and delivery characteristics of the use do not require the number or type of loading spaces required by this Section.

Section 3. Severability

If any provision of the ordinance is invalidated by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect.

Section 4. Limitation of Actions

Any action to challenge the validity or legality of any provision of this ordinance on any grounds shall be brought by court action commenced within ninety (90) days of the date of adoption of this ordinance.

Section 5. CEQA Compliance

The City Council has determined that the adoption of this ordinance is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), subject to Section 15061 of the CEQA Guidelines. Due to the nature of the proposed code revisions, there is no evidence that any significant impact to the environment would occur as a result of adoption of the Ordinance. Any environmental effects associated with adoption and implementation of the Ordinance.

Section 5. Effective Date

This ordinance becomes effective thirty (30) days after the date of its approval and adoption.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the forgoing Ordinance was duly introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Rio Dell on October 2, 2012 and furthermore the forgoing Ordinance was passed, approved and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Rio Dell, held on the 16th of October 2012 by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

Julie Woodall, Mayor

ATTEST:

Karen Dunham, City Clerk

Public Notice

City of Rio Dell City Council

SUMMARY FOR POSTING PRIOR TO ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE

(The summary shall be published or posted at least 10 calendar days prior to the City Council meeting)

Summary

On **Thursday, November 8, 2012 at 6:30 p.m.** or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, the Rio Dell City Council will hold a public hearing in the City Council Chamber at City Hall to consider the matter listed below. The City Council is scheduled to adopt Ordinance listed below. If you have any questions regarding the proposed project, contact Kevin Caldwell, Community Development Director at (707) 764-3532.

Ordinance No. 295-2012

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RIO DELL REPEALING THE EXISTING PARKING REGULATIONS AND ESTABLISHING NEW DESIGN PARKING REGULATIONS, SECTION 17.30.180 OF THE RIO DELL MUNICIPAL CODE:

A certified copy of the full text of the Ordinance is posted in the office of the City Clerk. General questions regarding the Ordinance, the planning process, submission of materials and information not specific to this project may be obtained from the City, 675 Wildwood Avenue, Rio Dell, CA. 95562; telephone (707) 764-3532.

675 Wildwood Avenue
Rio Dell, CA 95562
(707) 764-3532



Public Notice

City of Rio Dell City Council

SUMMARY FOR POSTING AFTER ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE

(The summary shall be published or posted within 5 calendar days after the adoption of the ordinance)

Summary

On **Tuesday, November 8, 2012 at 6:30 p.m.**, the Rio Dell City Council held a public hearing in the City Council Chamber at City Hall to consider the matter listed below and adopted Ordinance No. 295-2012 repealing the existing Parking Regulations and establishing new Parking Regulations, Section 17.30.180 of the Rio Dell Municipal Code (RDMC).

Ordinance No. 295-2012

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RIO DELL REPEALING THE EXISTING PARKING REGULATIONS AND ESTABLISHING NEW DESIGN PARKING REGULATIONS, SECTION 17.30.180 OF THE RIO DELL MUNICIPAL CODE:

A certified copy of the full text of the Ordinance is posted in the office of the City Clerk. General questions regarding the Ordinance, the planning process, submission of materials and information not specific to this project may be obtained from the City, 675 Wildwood Avenue, Rio Dell, CA. 95562; telephone (707) 764-3532.

